arXiv:1202.2589v1 [math.DG] 13 Feb 2012

Frankel conjecture and Sasaki geometry

Weiyong He and Song Sun

February 14, 2012

Abstract

We classify simply connected compact Sasaki manifolds of dimension $2n+1$ with positive transverse bisectional curvature. In particular, the Kähler cone corresponding to such manifolds must be bi-holomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\backslash\{0\}$. As an application we recover the Mori-Siu-Yau theorem on the Frankel conjecture and extend it to certain orbifold version. The main idea is to deform such Sasaki manifolds to the standard round sphere in two steps, both fixing the complex structure on the Kähler cone. First, we deform the metric along the Sasaki-Ricci flow and obtain a limit Sasaki-Ricci soliton with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Then by varying the Reeb vector field along the negative gradient of the volume functional, we deform the Sasaki-Ricci soliton to a Sasaki-Einstein metric with positive transverse bisectional curvature, i.e. a round sphere. The second deformation is only possible when one treats simultaneously regular and irregular Sasaki manifolds, even if the manifold one starts with is regular(quasi-regular), i.e. Kähler manifolds(orbifolds).

Contents

1 Introduction and main results 2

2 Preliminaries in Sasaki geometry 6
2.1 Sasaki manifolds 7
2.2 Deformation of Sasaki structures 9
2.3 Sasaki-Ricci solitons 14
2.4 The normalization 17
2.5 Weighted Sasaki spheres 19

3 Proof of the main theorem 20

4 Deformation of Sasaki-Ricci solitons: local theory 23

5 Variation of the μ entropy 24
5.1 μ entropy on the link 25
5.2 μ_X entropy on the cone 25

6 Compactness of Sasaki-Ricci solitons with positive curvature 32

7 Positivity of Sasaki-Ricci solitons 35

8 Rigidity of weighted Sasaki spheres **37**

9 Discussions **40**
9.1 Volume properness 40
9.2 Further questions 42

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper we study compact Sasaki manifolds with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Sasaki geometry, in particular, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds have been studied extensively. Readers are referred to the monograph [5], the survey paper [50] and the references therein for the history and recent progress on this subject.

The study of manifolds with positive curvature is one of the most important subjects in Riemannian geometry. There are lots of recent deep progress on this, especially using the technique of Ricci flow, see [4] and [9] for example. In Kähler geometry a natural concept is the positivity of the bisectional curvature. It was conjectured by Frankel [22] that a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with positive bisectional curvature is biholomorphic to the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^n$. The Frankel conjecture was proved in later 1970s independently by Mori [42] (he proved the more general Hartshorne conjecture) via algebraic geometry and Siu-Yau [49] via differential geometry. Sasaki geometry is an odd dimensional companion of Kähler geometry, so it is vary natural to ask for the counterpart of the Mori-Siu-Yau theorem for Sasaki manifolds. This is the major point of study in this article, and we would like to emphasize that this generalization seems to be interesting, in that it provides a uniform framework which also proves the original Frankel conjecture, through the method of Ricci flow, as attempted previously by many people(c. f. [16, 17], [44]). Moreover, the use of Sasaki geometry also yields certain orbifold version of the Frankel conjecture, which seems to be difficult to obtain with the known approaches. Finally, as already pointed out in [4] a "pinching towards constant curvature" proof of the Frankel conjecture using Ricci flow seems not plausible, as there are examples of two dimensional Ricci soliton orbifolds with positive curvature. One of the applications of the results developed in this article is to classify such solitons, in a uniform way.

Sasaki geometry in dimension $2n+1$ is closely related to Kähler geometry in both dimensions $2(n+1)$ and $2n$. A Sasaki manifold M of dimension $2n+1$ admits, on the one hand, a Kähler cone structure on the product $X = M \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and on the other hand, a transverse Kähler structure on the (local) quotient by the Reeb vector field. For now we view a Sasaki structure on M as a Kähler cone structure on X, and we identify M with the link $\{r = 1\}$ in X. A standard example of a Sasaki manifold is the odd dimensional round sphere S^{2n+1}. The corresponding Kähler cone is $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\backslash\{0\}$ with the flat metric.

A Sasaki manifold admits a canonical Killing vector field ξ, called the *Reeb vector field.* It is given by rotating the homothetic vector field $r\partial_r$ on X by the complex structure J. The integral curves of ξ are geodesics, and give rise to a foliation on M, called the *Reeb foliation.* Then there is a Kähler structure on the local leaf space of the Reeb foliation, called the *transverse Kähler structure.* If the transverse Kähler structure has positive bisectional curvature, we say the

Sasaki manifold has *positive transverse bisectional curvature*. If the Sasaki manifold has positive sectional curvature, it automatically has positive transverse bisectional curvature, for example, the round metric on S^{2n+1}. Actually for the round sphere S^{2n+1}, the transverse Kähler structure on the leaf space is isometric to the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^n$.

The main goal of this article is to classify compact Sasaki manifolds with positive transverse bisectional curvature. By a homothetic transformation such manifolds always admit Riemannian metrics with positive Ricci curvature, so they must have finite fundamental group. Therefore without loss of generality, we may assume the manifolds are simply connected. Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact simply connected Sasaki manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ with positive transverse bisectional curvature, then its Kähler cone (X, J) is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\backslash\{0\}$. Moreover, M is a* weighted Sasaki sphere*, i.e. M is diffeomorphic to the sphere S^{2n+1} and the Sasaki metric is a* simple Sasaki metric *on S^{2n+1}.*

Roughly speaking, a *simple Sasaki metric* on S^{2n+1} is a Sasaki metric that can be deformed to the round metric on S^{2n+1} through a *simple deformation*. The relevant definitions will be given in Section 2. When $n = 1$, our proof implies that any Sasaki structure on S^3 is simple and its Kähler cone is $\mathbb{C}^2\backslash\{0\}$; this result was proved by Belgun [2] as part of the classification of three dimensional Sasaki manifolds.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the classification of compact *polarized orbifolds* with positive bisectional curvature.

Corollary 1.2. *A compact polarized orbifold (M, J, g, L) with positive bisectional curvature is bi-holomorphic to a finite quotient of a weighted projective space.*

The notion "polarized orbifold" is taken from [45]. By this we mean there is an orbi-line bundle L, and in any orbifold chart (U_p, L_p, G_p) the action of G_p on L_p is faithful. As a special case of Corollary 1.2, we obtain an alternative analytic proof of Siu-Yau's Theorem.

Corollary 1.3 ([42], [49])**.** *A compact Kähler manifold with positive bisectional curvature is bi-holomorphic to the complex projective space.*

One interesting point here is that our proof of Corollary 1.2 and 1.3 do rely on the framework of Sasaki geometry. A converse of Theorem 1.1 is also true.

Theorem 1.4. *Any simple Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1} can be deformed to a Sasaki-Ricci soliton with positive transverse bisectional curvature, by a transverse Kähler deformation. In particular, a weighted projective space carries an orbifold Kähler-Ricci soliton with positive bisectional curvature.*

The existence of Sasaki-Ricci solitons on weighted Sasaki sphere follows from the result of Futaki-Ono-Wang [24] on toric Sasaki manifolds. We will prove that these Sasaki-Ricci solitons all have positive transverse bisectional curvature. We remark that here these metrics are not explicit, and we are not able to find a general way of producing an explicit orbifold Kähler metric with positive bisectional curvature even on weighted projective spaces.

Before we sketch the main ideas to prove Theorem 1.1, it is valuable to recall the known proofs of the Frankel conjecture. In both [42] and [49] the existence of rational curves plays an essential role. Mori proved a more general result that a Fano manifold always contains a rational curve by a bend-and-break argument and the algebraic geometry in positive characteristic; while Siu-Yau used the Sacks-Uhlenbeck argument to produce a stable harmonic sphere, and exploited the positivity of bisectional curvature to prove that such a sphere is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic. A key ingredient in the proof of Siu-Yau is a characterization of the complex projective space by Kobayashi-Ochiai [37]. There is, to the authors' knowledge so far, no analogue of this in Sasaki geometry to characterize a weighted Sasaki sphere, or particularly a weighted projective space. This seems to be a major obstacle for adapting the approach of Siu-Yau to the Sasaki case.

We proceed along a different track in this paper, that is, by deforming a geometric structure naturally to a standard one that can be classified more easily. In early 1980s Hamilton [30] introduced the *Ricci flow*, as a powerful tool to evolve Riemannian metrics towards canonical models. On Kähler manifolds the Ricci flow preserves the Kähler condition. It is called the *Kähler-Ricci flow* and was first studied by Cao [12]. Bando [1] (for complex dimension three) and Mok [41] (for all dimensions) studied the Kähler-Ricci flow on compact manifolds with positive(non-negative) bisectional curvature. They proved that this positivity(non-negativity) is preserved along the flow, using Hamilton's maximum principle for tensors. Since then, there has been many attempts to seek a proof of the Frankel conjecture using Ricci flow, and there has been extensive study of Kähler-Ricci flow with positive (nonnegative) bisectional curvature. We mention [16, 17, 14, 44] to name a few. Note that Berger [3] proved that a Kähler-Einstein metric with positive sectional curvature is isometric to the complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric; this result was later generalized to Kähler-Einstein manifolds with positive bisectional curvature by Goldberg-Kobayashi [25] where they first introduced the concept of holomorphic bisectional curvature. One can get an alternative proof of the Mori-Siu-Yau theorem if the Kähler-Ricci flow converges to a Kähler-Einstein metric with positive bisectional curvature.

Later on Perelman introduced many revolutionary ideas, including the by now well-known entropy functionals [43] into the study of the Ricci flow, which lead him to the solution of the Poincaré conjecture and Thurston's geometrization conjecture. He also proved very deep results for the Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds, namely, that the scalar curvature and the diameter are uniformly bounded along the flow; details of his results can be found in [48]. Combining this with Mok's results, it then easily follows that the Kähler-Ricci flow converges by sequence to a Kähler-Ricci soliton up to diffeomorphisms, if the initial metric has positive (nonnegative) bisectional curvature. Using the Morse-Bott theory and dimension induction, Chen, Tian and the second author [15] gave a direct proof that the limit Kähler-Ricci soliton, and hence the original Kähler manifold is biholomorphic to the complex projective space. The proof still depends on producing rational curves and applying the results of Kobayashi-Ochiai. Along the Ricci flow we only know that a Kähler-Ricci soliton with positive bisectional curvature must be Kähler-Einstein *a posteriori*, and a direct proof of this is still lacking.

Given the analogue between them, many concepts, techniques and results can be carried over from Kähler geometry to Sasaki geometry with certain modifications. Sasaki-Ricci flow was introduced by Smoczyk-Wang-Zhang [51] as a counterpart of Kähler-Ricci flow; it deforms a Sasaki metric such that its transverse Kähler metric is deformed by the transverse Käher-Ricci flow. Independently, Collins [18] and the first author [32] generalized Perelman's entropy and corresponding results in the Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds to the Sasaki setting by considering only *basic geometric data*, i.e. geometric quantities that are invariant along the Reeb vector fields. Then the $\mathcal{W}$ functional is monotone along Sasaki-Ricci flow, and the (transverse) scalar curvature and the diameter are both uniformly bounded along the flow. Furthermore, the first author studied the Sasaki-Ricci flow with positive (nonnegative) transverse bisectional curvature. It is shown that the flow converges to a Sasaki-Ricci soliton with positive transverse bisectional curvature. It is also proved in [32] that a compact, simply connected Sasaki-Einstein manifold with positive transverse bisectional curvature has constant transverse holomorphic sectional curvature, hence is the round sphere.

Here comes an essential difference from the Kähler setting. The fact that compact Kähler-Ricci solitons with positive bisectional curvature must be Kähler-Einstein might simply be a coincidence, since if we consider the general Sasaki setting, then a compact Sasaki-Ricci soliton with positive transverse bisectional curvature does not have to be Sasaki-Einstein. Indeed L.F. Wu([58], see also [19]) proved the existence of a non-trivial Ricci soliton with positive curvature on S^2 with certain orbifold singularity; this implies the existence of a non-Einstein Sasaki-Ricci soliton on S^3 with positive transverse curvature. Recently Futaki-Ono-Wang [24] proved the existence of Sasaki-Ricci solitons on compact toric Sasaki manifolds; their results produced a family of toric Sasaki-Ricci solitons on the weighted Sasaki sphere S^{2n+1}; the positivity condition can be assured if a Sasaki-Ricci soliton is close to the round metric on the sphere. Actually Theorem 1.4 asserts that all toric Sasaki-Ricci solitons in this family have positive transverse bisectional curvature. In short, the model structure in the Sasaki setting is not a unique one, but a whole family.

The problem is now reduced to classifying Sasaki-Ricci solitons with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Our strategy is to deform such solitons to a Sasaki-Einstein metric with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Note that there is no such corresponding deformation within the framework of Kähler geometry. Such a flexibility in Sasaki setting seems to be one of the advantages of the new approach. This not only implies that a Kähler-Ricci soliton with positive bisectional curvature is Kähler Einstein, hence gives a new analytic proof of Siu-Yau theorem, but also allows us to generalize the results to the Sasaki setting (Theorem 1.1).

To carry out the deformation of Sasaki-Ricci solitons, we first recall the theory of volume minimization due to Martelli-Sparks-Yau [40]. It is observed in [40] that the volume of a compact Sasaki manifold is equivalent to the Einstein-Hilbert functional, and is a function of the Reeb vector field only. Fix the complex structure on the Kähler cone (X, J) and a maximal compact torus $\mathbb{T}$ in the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(X, J)$, they obtained a beautiful variational picture of the volume functional on the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{T}$. In particular, the functional is convex and its critical point, if exists, is the Reeb vector field for the putative Sasaki-Einstein metric; moreover, the first variation of the volume functional can be interpreted as the Futaki invariant (see also [24]). It is then very natural to deform Reeb vector fields along the negative gradient of the volume functional, and to deform Sasaki-Ricci solitons correspondingly, with the hope to reach a critical Reeb vector field where we end up with a desired Sasaki-Einstein metric.

Now we outline the organization of the article. In Section 2.1 we give a gentle introduction to Sasaki geometry. In particular, we recall the notion of transverse bisectional curvature. In Section 2.2 we introduce the notion of a *Reeb cone* and a *simple deformation* of Sasaki structures . In Section 2.3 we recall the notion of a Sasaki-Ricci soliton, and collect various facts including the relation between the variation of volume function and the Futaki invariant. Section 2.4 is concerned with the normalization we use along the deformation. In Section 2.5 we introduce weighted Sasaki spheres and simple Sasaki structures, which form the canonical models for our study. Theorem 1.4 is proved here, using a technical result in Section 7. In Section 3 we carry out the deformation picture in detail, and study the *volume decreasing flow.* Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 and 1.3 are proved in this section. The rest of this article is devoted to prove various technical results. In Section 4 we use the implicit function theorem to show that one can locally deform a Sasaki-Ricci soliton by varying its Reeb vector field. In Section 5 we prove that the μ entropy is increasing along the deformation. So we get volume upper bound and μ functional lower bound a priori. In Section 6, we prove a uniform diameter bound for the Sasaki-Ricci solitons along the deformation. This together with curvature estimates give a uniform geometry bound, and thus guarantee the compactness in the Cheeger-Gromov sense. In Section 7 we use the maximum principle to prove a uniform positive lower bound of the transverse bisectional curvature of the Sasaki-Ricci solitons in the deformation. Thus the deformation could be continued. Note as in many problems in complex geometry, the *jumping phenomenon* might happen when taking limits under only a geometry bound. However, the jumping could occur only when the dimension of the above Lie algebra increases. So clearly there could be at most finitely many jumps. After that the Reeb vector fields will stay in a fixed Reeb cone, and the deformation path exists for all time and converges to a Sasaki-Einstein metric with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Finally we prove a rigidity theorem in Section 8, and conclude that a Sasaki metric with positive transverse bisectional curvature is indeed a simple Sasaki metric on the sphere S^{2n+1}. In Section 9 we discuss related problems.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Prof. Xiuxiong Chen and Prof. Simon Donaldson for warm encouragements. We are also grateful to Hans-Joachim Hein for valuable discussions, and Prof. Dmitri Panov and Prof. Richard Thomas for their interest in this work.

2 Preliminaries in Sasaki geometry

Sasaki geometry has many equivalent descriptions. We will largely use the formulation by Kähler cones; see, for example, [40] for a nice reference. It can also be defined in terms of metric contact geometry or transverse Kähler geometry; see [5], for references.

2.1 Sasaki manifolds

Let M be a compact differentiable manifold of dimension $2n+1 (n \geq 1)$. A *Sasaki structure* on M is defined to be a Kähler cone structure on $X = M \times \mathbb{R}_+$, i.e. a Kähler metric (g_X, J) on X of the form

$$g_X = dr^2 + r^2 g,$$

where $r > 0$ is a coordinate on $\mathbb{R}_+$, and g is a Riemannian metric on M. We call (X, g_X, J) the *Kähler cone* of M. The vertex is not viewed as part of the cone throughout this paper. We also identify M with the link $\{r = 1\}$ in X if there is no ambiguity. Because of the cone structure, the Kähler form on X can be expressed as

$$\omega_X = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}r^2 = \frac{1}{4}dd^c r^2.$$

We denote by $r\partial_r$ the homothetic vector field on the cone, which is easily seen to be a holomorphic vector field. A tensor α on X is said to be of homothetic degree k if

$$\mathcal{L}_{r\partial_r}\alpha = k\alpha.$$

In particular, ω and g have homothetic degree two, while J and $r\partial_r$ has homothetic degree zero. We define the *Reeb vector field*

$$\xi = J(r\partial_r).$$

Then ξ is a holomorphic Killing field on X with homothetic degree zero. Let η be the dual one-form to ξ:

$$\eta(\cdot) = r^{-2}g_X(\xi, \cdot) = d^c \log r = \sqrt{-1}(\overline{\partial} - \partial) \log r \ .$$

We also use (ξ, η) to denote the restriction of them on (M, g). Then we have

- η is a contact form on M, and ξ is a Killing vector field on M which we also call the Reeb vector field;
- $\eta(\xi) = 1, \iota_\xi d\eta(\cdot) = d\eta(\xi, \cdot) = 0$;
- the integral curves of ξ are geodesics.

The Reeb vector field ξ defines a foliation $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ of M by geodesics. There is then a classification of Sasaki structures according to the global property of the leaves. If all the leaves are compact, then ξ generates a circle action on M, and the Sasaki structure is called *quasi-regular*. In general this action is only locally free, and we get a polarized orbifold structure on the leaf space. If the circle action is globally free, then the Sasaki structure is called *regular*, and the leaf space is a polarized manifold. If ξ has a non-compact leaf the Sasaki structure is called *irregular*. Readers are referred to Section 2.5 for examples. In the present paper the regularity of a Sasaki structure will not be essential.

There is an orthogonal decomposition of the tangent bundle

$$TM = L\xi \oplus \mathcal{D},$$

where $L\xi$ is the trivial bundle generalized by ξ, and $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$. The metric g and the contact form η determine a $(1, 1)$ tensor field Φ on M by

$$g(Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}d\eta(Y, \Phi Z), Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}).$$

Φ restricts to an almost complex structure on $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\Phi^2 = -\mathbb{I} + \eta \otimes \xi.$$

Since both g and η are invariant under ξ, there is a well-defined Kähler structure (g^T, ω^T, J^T) on the local leaf space of the Reeb foliation. We call this a *transverse Kähler structure.* In the quasi-regular case, this is the same as the Kähler structure on the quotient. Clearly

$$\omega^T = \frac{1}{2} d\eta.$$

The upper script T is used to denote both the transverse geometric quantity, and the corresponding quantity on the bundle $\mathcal{D}$. For example we have on M

$$g = \eta \otimes \eta + g^T.$$

From the above discussion it is not hard to see that there is an intrinsic formulation of a Sasaki structure as a compatible integrable pair (η, Φ), where η is a contact one form and Φ is a almost CR structure on $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Ker}\eta$. Here "compatible" means first that $d\eta(\Phi U, \Phi V) = d\eta(U, V)$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{D}$, and $d\eta(U, \Phi U) > 0$ for any non zero $U \in \mathcal{D}$. Further we require $\mathcal{L}_\xi \Phi = 0$, where ξ is the unique vector field with $\eta(\xi) = 1$, and $d\eta(\xi, \cdot) = 0$. Φ induces a splitting

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{D}^{1,0} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{0,1},$$

with $\overline{\mathcal{D}^{1,0}} = \mathcal{D}^{0,1}$. "Integrable" means that $[\mathcal{D}^{0,1}, \mathcal{D}^{0,1}] \subset \mathcal{D}^{0,1}$. This is equivalent to that the induced almost complex structure on the local leaf space of the foliation by ξ is integrable. For more discussions on this, see [5] Chapter 6.

The Sasaki structure on M is determined by the triple (ξ, η, g). [1] From now on, we will use the notation (M, ξ, η, g) to denote a Sasaki manifold. By an easy computation for any tangent vector Y,

$$R(Z, \xi)Y = g(\xi, Y)Z - g(Z, Y)\xi. \tag{2.1}$$

It follows that the sectional curvature of any tangent plane in M containing ξ has to be 1; or equivalently, the sectional curvature of any tangent plane in X containing either ∂_r or ξ, is zero. Hence if a Sasaki manifold (M, g) of dimension $2n+1$ is Einstein, then the Einstein constant must be $2n$, i.e.

$$Ric = 2ng;$$

correspondingly, the Kähler cone (X, g_X, J) is then a Kähler-Ricci cone, i.e.

$$Ric_X = Ric - 2ng = 0.$$

One can introduce the transverse connection ∇^T and transverse curvature operator $R^T(Y, Z)W$ for $Y, Z,\ W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$Ric(Y, Z) = Ric^T(Y, Z) - 2g^T(Y, Z), Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}).$$

---

[1] Indeed, ξ and η are determined by each other, but we keep the notation here in order to emphasis both the Reeb vector field and the contact form

Hence the Sasaki-Einstein condition can also be written as a transverse Kähler-Einstein condition:

$$Ric^T - 2(n+1)g^T = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We are interested in transverse holomorphic bisectional curvature. It has been studied recently [59, 32]. We recall some definitions.

Definition 2.1. Given two Φ-invariant tangent planes σ_1, σ_2 in $\mathcal{D}_x \subset T_xM$, the *transverse holomorphic bisectional curvature* $H^T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is defined as

$$H^T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \langle R^T(Y, JY)JZ, Z\rangle,$$

where $Y \in \sigma_1, Z \in \sigma_2$ are both of unit length. We define the transverse holomorphic sectional curvature of a Φ-invariant tangent plane as

$$H^T(\sigma) = H^T(\sigma, \sigma).$$

It is easy to check these are well-defined. For brevity, we will simply say "transverse bisectional curvature" instead of 'transverse holomorphic bisectional curvature".

Definition 2.2. For $x \in M$, we say the transverse bisectional curvature is positive (or nonnegative) at x if $H^T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is positive for any two Φ invariant planes σ_1, σ_2 in $\mathcal{D}_x$. We say M has positive (nonnegative) transverse bisectional curvature if H^T is positive (nonnegative) at any point $x \in M$;

It is often convenient to introduce transverse holomorphic coordinates. Let $(z^1, \cdots, z^n)$ be a holomorphic chart on a local leaf space around x. Then the transverse bisectional curvature is positive at x if and only if for any two non zero tangent vectors $u = \sum u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$, and $v = \sum v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}$,

$$\langle R^T(u, \bar{v})v, \bar{u}\rangle = R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} u^i u^{\bar{j}} v^k v^{\bar{l}} > 0.$$

The transverse bisectional curvature determines the transverse sectional curvature, so by (2.1) it determines the sectional curvature of M. Simply connected Sasaki manifolds with constant transverse holomorphic sectional curvature have been classified by S.Tanno [52]. In the case of positive transverse bisectional curvature, the following lemma is proved in [32], using the maximum principle as in [16].

Lemma 2.3. *A compact simply connected Sasaki-Einstein manifold with positive transverse bisectional curvature is isometric to the standard Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1}, or equivalently, the Kähler cone is isometric to the standard flat cone $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$.*

2.2 Deformation of Sasaki structures

Let (M, ξ, η, g) be a given Sasaki structure. Note that for any positive constant $\lambda \neq 1$, the naive scaling $(M, \lambda g)$ is not a Sasaki metric, for example, by (2.1). But there is a well-known replacement in the Sasaki setting, called *homothetic transformation* introduced by S. Tanno [52]. It is induced by the transformation $\xi \mapsto \lambda^{-1}\xi$ and $\eta \mapsto \lambda\eta$; the corresponding metric is then given by

$$g_\lambda = \lambda^2 \eta \otimes \eta + \lambda g^T.$$

Hence the transverse Kähler metric is rescaled indeed, but the scaling factor is different from that along the Reeb vector field direction. On the cone X, this can be realized by the transformation $r \mapsto \tilde{r} = r^{\lambda}$, and the Kähler form is given by

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\tilde{r}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}r^{2\lambda}.$$

In the present paper we will choose a particular scaling of the transverse Kähler structure and it will be specified later (see (2.3)).

The deformations of Sasaki structures on M that we are interested in will all be induced by a deformation of the Kähler cone metrics on X, with a fixed complex structure J, i.e. a deformation of the Kähler potentials r^2. We first consider *transverse Kähler deformation*, as discussed in [40, 24]. This is a special case of a *Type II deformation* introduced in [7]. Given a Sasaki structure (M, ξ, η, g) and its Kähler cone (X, g_X, J). We consider all Kähler cone metrics on (X, J) with Reeb vector field ξ. This is equivalent to fixing the homothetic vector field $r\partial_r$ since

$$r\partial_r = -J\xi.$$

Let $\tilde{r}^2/2$ be the Kähler potential of another Kähler cone metric $\tilde{g}$ on (X, J). Then we have

$$\tilde{r}\partial_{\tilde{r}} = r\partial_r.$$

It implies that there exists a function ϕ on X such that $\partial_r\phi = 0$, and

$$\tilde{r}^2 = r^2 \exp(2\phi)$$

Note that the Kähler cone condition implies $\mathcal{L}_\xi \tilde{r} = 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi = 0$. Hence ϕ can also be considered as a *basic function* on M. On the cone (X, J) we have

$$\tilde{\eta} = J\left(d \log \tilde{r}\right) = \eta + d^c\phi.$$

It is also very natural to present this deformation in terms of *basic forms* on the Sasaki manifold M; see [24, 5], for example, for nice references. First we recall,

Definition 2.4. A p-form θ on M is called basic if

$$\iota_\xi\theta = 0, L_\xi\theta = 0.$$

Let Λ^p_B be the sheaf of germs of basic p-forms and $\Omega^p_B = \Gamma(S, \Lambda^p_B)$ the space of smooth sections of Λ^p_B.

The exterior differential preserves basic forms and we set $d_B = d|_{\Omega^p_B}$. Thus the subalgebra $\Omega_B(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ forms a subcomplex of the de Rham complex, and its cohomology ring $H^*_B(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ is called the *basic cohomology ring.* In particular, there is a transverse Hodge theory [21, 35, 57]. The transverse Hodge star operator $*_B$ is defined in terms of the usual Hodge star by

$$*_B\alpha = *(\eta \wedge \alpha).$$

The adjoint $d^*_B : \Omega^p_B \to \Omega^{p-1}_B$ of d_B is

$$d^*_B = - *_B d_B *_B .$$

The *basic Laplacian* operator is defined to be $\Delta_B = d_B d_B^* + d_B^* d_B$. When (M, ξ, η, g) is a Sasaki structure, there is a natural splitting of $\Lambda_B^p \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\Lambda_B^p \otimes \mathbb{C} = \oplus \Lambda_B^{i,j},$$

where $\Lambda_B^{i,j}$ is the bundle of type (i, j) basic forms. We thus have the well-defined operators

$$\partial_B : \Omega_B^{i,j} \to \Omega_B^{i+1,j},$$
$$\bar{\partial}_B : \Omega_B^{i,j} \to \Omega_B^{i,j+1}.$$

Then we have $d_B = \partial_B + \bar{\partial}_B$. Set $d_B^c = \sqrt{-1}\left(\bar{\partial}_B - \partial_B\right)$; then

$$d_B d_B^c = 2\sqrt{-1}\partial_B \bar{\partial}_B, d_B^2 = (d_B^c)^2 = 0.$$

It is clear that the transverse Kähler form defines a basic cohomology class $[\omega^T]_B$.

Now we return to the above deformation. $\tilde{\eta}$ could be viewed as the contact one-form of a new Sasaki structure on M by pulling back through the embedding of M into $X = M \times \mathbb{R}_+$ as $\{\tilde{r} = 1\} = \{r = e^{-\phi(x)}\}$. It is straightforward to check that ξ, η and $d^c\phi$ are invariant under the diffeomorphism

$$F_\phi : X \to X; (x, r) \mapsto (x, re^{-\phi(x)}),$$

So as contact one-forms on M, we have

$$\tilde{\eta} = \eta + d_B^c \phi.$$

Therefore, the transverse Kähler forms are related by

$$\tilde{\omega}^T = \omega^T + \sqrt{-1}\partial_B \overline{\partial}_B \phi.$$

In the regular case, this corresponds to deform the Kähler metric in a fixed Kähler class. The transverse Ricci form ρ^T defines a basic cohomology class $\frac{1}{2\pi}[\rho^T]_B$, which we call *the basic first Chern class* c_1^B.

Suppose now (M, ξ, η, g) has positive transverse bisectional curvature, then it follows directly $c_1^B > 0$. Moreover, a rather standard Bochner technique implies $b_2^B = \dim H_B^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = 1$ (see [32] Section 9). It then follows that there is a positive constant λ such that

$$c_1^B = \frac{1}{2\pi}[\rho^T]_B = \lambda[\omega^T]_B.$$

By a homothetic transformation, we can then assume that, as a basic cohomology class,

$$[\rho^T]_B = (2n + 2)[\omega^T]_B. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence there is a basic function h on M such that

$$\rho^T + \sqrt{-1}\partial_B \overline{\partial}_B h = (2n + 2)\omega^T, \tag{2.4}$$

where h is called the *(transverse) Ricci potential* of ω^T, with the normalization

$$\int_M e^{-h} dv_g = 1.$$

Note that h can be considered as a basic function on M or a function on X which is invariant under both ξ and $r\partial_r$. On the cone X, the Ricci form ρ_X satisfies

$$\rho_X + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}h = \rho^T - (2n+2)\omega^T + \sqrt{-1}\partial_B\overline{\partial}_B h = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$c_1(X, J) = 0; \tag{2.5}$$

hence (X, J) is a Calabi-Yau manifold. If the Sasaki metric is Einstein, then one easily sees that equation (2.3) holds and $h = 0$. The normalization (2.3) is then what one should use if one is searching for Sasaki-Einstein metrics.

Next we consider more general deformations by allowing the Reeb vector field to vary in a fixed abelian Lie algebra. As in [40], we fix a compact torus $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X, J)$ and vary the Reeb vector fields within the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathbb{T}$. We fix a $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Sasaki metric (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) such that $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{t}$. First we have

Lemma 2.5. *For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\eta_0(\xi) > 0$, then there exists a $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Kähler cone metric g_X on (X, J) with Reeb vector field ξ.*

Proof. We follow the construction in [40]. Let r_0^2 be the Kähler potential on (X, J) corresponding to the Sasaki structure (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0). Consider the equation

$$r\partial_r = -J\xi$$

with the initial condition $r = 1$ when $r_0 = 1$. There is a unique solution $r > 0$ which is $\mathbb{T}$ invariant and proper on (X, J). Define

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) \text{ and } g_X = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot).$$

Set $\eta = J(r^{-1}dr)$. Note that the link $\{r = 1\}$ coincides with $\{r_0 = 1\}$. On this link, $V \in \mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$ if and only if JV is tangent to $\{r = 1\}$, which is the same as JV is tangent to $\{r_0 = 1\}$. So this is equivalent to $V \in \mathrm{Ker}(\eta_0)$. It then follows that on $\{r_0 = 1\}$,

$$\eta = f\eta_0$$

for a function f. It easily follows that $f = 1/\eta_0(\xi) > 0$. We claim that g_X is positive definite and defines a Kähler cone metric on X. First it is readily seen that $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ and $r^{-1}\xi$ are orthogonal unit vector fields. Moreover, for any $Y \in \mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$, $g_X(r\partial_r, Y) = g_X(\xi, Y) = 0$. It suffices to check g_X is positive definite on $\mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$. Note that by definition, g_X is homothetic degree two with respect to $r\partial_r$. Thus we only need to prove g_X is positive definite on $\mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$ when restricted on $\{r = 1\}$. For any two vectors Y, $Z \in \mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$ on $\{r = 1\}$, we have

$$d\eta(Y, JZ) = \frac{1}{\eta_0(\xi)} d\eta_0(Y, JZ).$$

Note that we also have $\mathcal{L}_\xi r = dr(\xi) = dr(J(r\partial_r)) = 0$. It follows that (X, J, g_X) defines a Kähler metric. It is easy to check this is indeed a Kähler cone. ☐

Remark 2.6. The new Sasaki structure on M obtained in the above proof can be written explicitly as

$$\eta = \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_0(\xi)}, \Phi = \Phi_0 - \Phi_0\xi \otimes \eta, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$g = \eta \otimes \eta + \frac{1}{2} d\eta(\mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi).$$

This is exactly the same as what is called the *Type I deformation* in [7]. It was proved directly in [7] that the deformation given in (2.6) preserves the CR structure $(\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Ker}(\eta_0), \Phi_0|_{\mathcal{D}})$. The emphasis here is a bit different, as for our purpose later we want to fix the complex structure on the Kähler cone. Also the situation in [7] is more general. In this paper, we will refer to *Type I deformation* the deformation given by the above lemma, for some fixed torus $\mathbb{T}$. Note that a homothetic transformation is a Type I deformation which does not change the Reeb foliation.

It turns out $\eta_0(\xi) > 0$ is also necessary for $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ being a Reeb vector field of a contact 1-form that comes out of deformation.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\eta(t))(t \in [0,1])$ be a continuous path of contact 1-form with Reeb vector field $\xi(t) \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that η_0 is the fixed $\mathbb{T}$-invariant contact 1-form, then $\eta_0(\xi(t)) > 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.*

Proof. The property that $\eta_0(\xi(t)) > 0$ is clearly an open property in t. So it suffices to prove this is also a closed property. Thus we can assume $\eta_0(\xi(t)) > 0$ for all $t \in [0,1)$, and we need to prove $\eta_0(\xi(1)) > 0$. For simplicity we denote $\xi = \xi(1)$. Suppose this not true, then by continuity, $\eta_0(\xi) \geq 0$ and there exists a point $p \in M$ such that $\eta_0(\xi)(p) = 0$. Note that $\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n$ and $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n$ are two volume forms on M. Then there exists a nowhere vanishing function f such that

$$f\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n = \eta \wedge (d\eta)^n.$$

Clearly $f > 0$ on M. It follows that

$$(d\eta)^n = \iota_\xi \eta \wedge (d\eta)^n = \iota_\xi (f\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n). \tag{2.7}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_\xi(f\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n) =& f\eta_0(\xi)(d\eta_0)^n - f\eta_0 \wedge \iota_\xi (d\eta_0)^n \\ =& f\eta_0(\xi)(d\eta_0)^n - nf\eta_0 \wedge \iota_\xi d\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that η_0 is $\mathbb{T}$-invariant; in particular

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi \eta_0 = \iota_\xi d\eta_0 + d(\eta_0(\xi)) = 0.$$

So

$$\iota_\xi(f\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n) = f\eta_0(\xi)(d\eta_0)^n + nf\eta_0 \wedge d(\eta_0(\xi)) \wedge (d\eta_0)^{n-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

It then follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$(d\eta)^n = f\eta_0(\xi)(d\eta_0)^n + nf\eta_0 \wedge d(\eta_0(\xi)) \wedge (d\eta_0)^{n-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Note that at p, $\eta_0(\xi) = 0$ by assumption; also $d(\eta_0(\xi))(p) = 0$ since p is a minimum of $\eta_0(\xi)$. By (2.9), it implies that $(d\eta)^n(p) = 0$. Contradiction. $\square$

Now we make the following definition,

Definition 2.8. Let (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) be a compact Sasaki manifold, and fix a compact torus $\mathbb{T}$ in the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$. Then naturally $\mathbb{T}$ acts on (X, J). We define the associated *Reeb cone* $\mathcal{R}_{\xi_0}$of ξ_0 to be the set of a path-connected component of ξ_0 of all Reeb vector fields in $\mathfrak{t}$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_{\xi_0}$, it is the Reeb vector field of a Kähler cone metric on (X, J). We also define a *simple deformation* of Sasaki structures on M to the one that is induced from a deformation of Kähler cone metrics on (X, J) with Reeb vector fields in $\mathfrak{t}$. In particular, a simple deformation always preserves the complex structure on the cone X.

By the above discussion we know a simple deformation is the composition of a Type I deformation followed by a transverse Kähler deformation. The notion of a "Reeb cone" originates from [40] Section 2.5, where it is defined to be the cone in $\mathfrak{t}$ dual to the moment cone $\mathcal{C}^*$ in $\mathfrak{t}^*$. This is exactly given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\xi_0} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{t} : \eta_0(\xi) > 0\}.$$

So by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 the notion of a Reeb cone in Definition 2.8 agrees with that given in [40]. Also a Reeb cone coincides with the *Sasaki cone* for a fixed CR structure introduced in [8]. Note that a Reeb cone is always convex, and it is proved in [40] that the element in $\mathcal{R}_{\xi_0}$ that is the Reeb field of a Sasaki-Einstein metric is unique.

Remark 2.9. It would be interesting to understand whether there are Reeb vector fields of Kähler cone metrics on (X, J) in $\mathfrak{t}$ other than $\mathcal{R}_{\xi_0}$.

2.3 Sasaki-Ricci solitons

In this subsection we recall some general theory on the symmetries in Sasaki geometry, largely following [40] and [24]. We also state some facts about Sasaki-Ricci solitons, whose proofs follow easily the Kähler case as in [55, 56]. Let (M, ξ, η, g) be a Sasaki manifold, and (X, g_X, J) the corresponding Kähler cone. Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$ the automorphism group of the Sasaki structure, and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. Without confusion, we can always think of a tensor on M as a tensor on X with homothetic degree zero.

Definition 2.10. We say a vector field Y on a Sasaki manifold (M, ξ, η, g) is a *Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field* if its homogeneous extension to X is a Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field with respect to the Kähler metric (J, g_X).

This definition is essentially the same as that in [24], where it is phrased in terms of transverse Kähler geometry. The difference is that in [24] the vector fields are allowed to be complex valued, while we only consider real valued vector fields. Hence a Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field is clearly a Killing field. For a Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field Y, we have $\mathcal{L}_Y r = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{r\partial_r} Y = 0$, Then

$$\iota_Y \omega = \frac{1}{2}\iota_Y d(r^2\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_Y(r^2\eta) - \frac{1}{2}d(r^2\eta(Y)) = -\frac{1}{2}d(r^2\eta(Y)).$$

So Y is generated by the Hamiltonian function $H_Y = -\frac{1}{2}r^2\eta(Y)$, i.e.

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}J\nabla_X(r^2\eta(Y)) = \eta(Y)\xi + \frac{r^2}{2}J\nabla_X\eta(Y).$$

We call the function H_Y a *Hamiltonian holomorphic potential* on M. It is easy to see that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be identified with the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian holomorphic vector fields Y on M, or equivalently, the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian holomorphic potentials on M under the Poisson bracket.

Now we fix the homothetic transformation by equation (2.3). Note this normalization is what one should use if one is searching for Sasaki-Einstein metrics. Let h be the Ricci potential of ω^T, as in (2.4). There is an alternative characterization of a Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field in terms of a self-adjoint operator on M with respect to the measure $e^{-h}dv$. The operator is given by

$$L(\psi) = \Delta\psi - \nabla h \cdot \nabla\psi + 4(n+1)\psi,$$

for a basic function ψ, where Δ is the rough Laplacian of g. Note that for a basic function ψ, $\Delta\psi = -\Delta_B\psi$. The corresponding operator on the cone X is given by

$$L_X(\psi) = r^2(\Delta_X\psi - \nabla_X h \cdot \nabla_X\psi) + 4(n+1)\psi.$$

Definition 2.11. We call a basic function ψ on M *normalized* if

$$\int_M \psi e^{-h} dv_g = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

A straightforward Bochner technique gives

Lemma 2.12 ([24])**.** *A real-valued normalized basic function ψ satisfies $L(\psi) = 0$ if and only if ψ is a Hamiltonian holomorphic potential.*

For an arbitrary Hamiltonian holomorphic potential ψ, we denote by

$$\underline{\psi} = \psi - \int_M \psi e^{-h} dv_g$$

the normalization of ψ.

Now we introduce the notion of a Sasaki-Ricci soliton, following [24].

Definition 2.13. A compact Sasaki manifold (M, ξ, η, g) is called a *Sasaki-Ricci soliton* if there is a Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field Y such that

$$Ric^T - (2n+2)g^T = \mathcal{L}_{JY} g^T.$$

This is equivalent to that the Ricci potential h is a Hamiltonian holomorphic potential, in other words, $L(\underline{h}) = 0$.

For any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define the *Futaki invariant* of JY as

$$Fut(JY) = \int_X \mathcal{L}_{JY}(-h)e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dV_X = \frac{1}{2}\int_X \nabla_X h \cdot \nabla_X \eta(Y) r^2 e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dV_X.$$

The appearance of the exponential term guarantees the validity of integration by parts, and one can show that this does not change under a transversal Kähler deformation of the metric. Clearly a compact Sasaki-Ricci soliton is Sasaki-Einstein if and only if its Futaki invariant vanishes identically.

By mimicking the arguments in [55], it seems possible to prove that Sasaki-Ricci soliton in a fixed basic cohomology class is unique up to the action of transverse holomorphic automorphism group. For our later purpose, we only need a much weaker result. First we have the Calabi type theorem.

Lemma 2.14. *Let (M, ξ, η, g) be a Sasaki-Ricci soliton, and $Aut_0(\xi, \eta, g)$ be the identity component of $Aut_0(\xi, \eta, g)$. Let P be the the group consisting all diffeomorphisms of M which preserves the transverse holomorphic structure. Then $Aut_0(\xi, \eta, g)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of P.*

Notice the Lie algebra $Lie(P)$ of P is precisely the space of homogeneous holomorphic vector fields on (X, J) which commutes with $r\partial_r$ and ξ. Also it can be identified with the space of all normalized complex-valued functions ψ on M satisfying $L(\psi) = 0$. The proof of Lemma 2.14 is an easy adaption of the proof of Theorem A in [55], which is itself similar to the original arguments of Calabi [11]. We omit it here.

As in [56] we can define the modified Futaki invariant in a fixed basic cohomology class. Fix a Sasaki structure (ξ, η, g) on M. For $Y \in Lie(P)$, there is correspondingly a normalized complex-valued function θ_Y with $L(\theta_Y) = 0$. We define the Futaki invariant with respect to Y as

$$Fut_Y : Lie(P) \to \mathbb{C}; Z \mapsto -\int_M \theta_Z e^{\theta_Y} dv_g.$$

Just as in [56], the definition of Fut_Y does not depend on the choice of the metric in the transverse Kähler class $[\omega^T]$. Since $L(\theta_Z) = 0$, integration by parts yields

$$Fut_Y(Z) = \int_M JZ(\theta_Y + h)e^{\theta_Y} dv_g.$$

So if (ξ, η, g) is a Sasaki-Ricci soliton, then we can choose $\theta_Y = h$, then Fut_Y vanishes identically.

Now let $\mathbb{T}$ be a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$ with $\xi \in Lie(\mathbb{T})$. Then we have

Lemma 2.15. *There is a unique Y in $\mathfrak{t}$ such that $Fut_Y(Z) = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{t}$.*

Proof. We follow the proof Lemma 2.2 in [56]. First notice that for any $Z \in \mathfrak{t}$, θ_Z is a real valued function. Furthermore the condition for Y in the statement of the lemma is equivalent to that Y is critical point of the function

$$F : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{R}; Z \mapsto \int_M e^{\theta_Z} dv_g.$$

It is easy to check that F is strictly convex on $\mathfrak{t}$. So it suffices to show F is proper. Fix an arbitrary inner product on $\mathfrak{t}$. If F were not proper, then there would exist a sequence Z_i in $\mathfrak{t}$ with $||Z_i|| \to \infty$, such that $F(Z_i)$ does not go to infinity. Then there exists a Z_∞ with $||Z_\infty|| = 1$, such that

$$Z_i/||Z_i|| \to Z_\infty$$

by taking a subsequence. For i large enough we have

$$\frac{Z_i}{||Z_i||} = (1 - \epsilon_i)Z_\infty + \epsilon_i Z_i'$$

for $\epsilon_i \to 0$ and Z_i' has unit length and is orthogonal to Z_∞. Since

$$\int_M \theta_{Z_\infty} e^{-h} dv_g = 0,$$

there is an open set U such that $\theta_{Z_\infty} > 0$ on U. We can choose U small enough so that $(1-\epsilon_i)\theta_{Z_\infty} + \epsilon_i \theta_{Z'_i} > \delta > 0$ for any i sufficiently big and for a fixed $\delta > 0$. This implies that

$$\int_M e^{\theta_{Z_i}} dv_g \geq \int_M e^{\delta ||Z_i||} dv_g \to \infty.$$

Contradiction! $\square$

Now we fix a Sasaki-Ricci soliton (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0), and let Y_0 be the Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field generated by the Ricci potential h_0. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a maximal torus in $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$.

Lemma 2.16. *If (M, ξ_1, η_1, g_1) is a $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Sasaki-Ricci soliton in the class $[\omega_0^T]$. Then $Y_1 = Y_0$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.14 implies that $\mathbb{T}$ is also a maximal compact torus of P. Thus $\mathbb{T}$ is also a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$. Since (ξ_1, η_1, g_1) is $\mathbb{T}$ invariant, Y_1 commutes with all elements in $\mathfrak{t}$. Thus by maximality $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{t}$. By assumption we have for any $Z \in \mathfrak{t}$,

$$Fut_Y(Z) = Fut_{Y_1}(Z) = 0.$$

So the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.15. $\square$

2.4 The normalization

We fix the normalization condition (2.3) throughout the paper. One of the key ideas in this article is to deform a Sasaki-Ricci soliton by deforming its Reeb vector field. Then naturally one would like to ask under what condition the condition (2.3) is preserved.

Definition 2.17. We say a compact Sasaki manifold (M, ξ, η, g) is *normalized* if it satisfies (2.3). i.e.

$$2\pi c_1^B = (2n+2)[\omega^T].$$

By [24] a Sasaki manifold is homothetic to a normalized one if and only if the basic first Chern class c_1^B is positive definite and the contact subbundle $\mathcal{D}$ has vanishing first Chern class. We have already seen in Section 2.2 that if (M, ξ, η, g) has positive transverse bisectional curvature, then we can assume it is normalized by a homothetic transformation.

Now we fix a compact normalized Sasaki manifold (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0). By the transverse Calabi-Yau theorem we may assume Ric^T is positive, so the fundamental group of M is finite, as a homothetic transformation would produce a metric on M with positive Ricci curvature. On the Kähler cone we know $Ric_X(\omega_0) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}h_0 = 0$. So $e^{-h_0}\omega_0^n$ defines a flat connection on K_X. Parallel transport then defines a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section Ω_l of $K^{\otimes l}$ for some integer $l > 0$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0} h_0 = \mathcal{L}_{r_0\partial_{r_0}} h_0 = 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{r_0\partial_{r_0}}\Omega_l = (n+1)l\Omega_l;$$

in other words, using the language in [40], Ω_l has charge $(n+1)l$ for the vector field $r_0\partial_{r_0}$. Fixing a choice of Ω_l, then we claim there is a linear functional $c : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $Y \in \mathfrak{t}$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_Y \Omega_l = \sqrt{-1}c(Y)\Omega_l.$$

To see this, for any Y there is a holomorphic function f on X such that

$$\mathcal{L}_Y \Omega_l = f(Y)\Omega_l.$$

Since Y commutes with $r_0\partial_{r_0} = -J\xi_0$, we know that $\mathcal{L}_{r_0\partial_{r_0}} f = 0$. But the only holomorphic function on X with homothetic degree zero is the constant function, so f is a constant function. It is purely imaginary because the $\mathbb{T}$ action preserves the norm of Ω_l. Now we have

Lemma 2.18. *A $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Kähler cone metric on (X, J) with Reeb vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ is normalized if and only if $c(\xi) = (n+1)l$.*

Proof. Let ω be a such a metric. Then the Ricci curvature is given by

$$Ric_X(\omega) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log \omega^{n+1} = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log ||\Omega_l||_{\omega}^{2/l}.$$

If $c(\xi) = (n+1)l$, then $h = \log ||\Omega_l||_{\omega}^{2/l}$ is both $\mathbb{T}$-invariant and $J\xi$ invariant, so we obtain the transverse Ricci curvature satisfies

$$Ric^T(g) + \sqrt{-1}\partial_B\overline{\partial}_B h = (2n+2)\omega^T,$$

and equation (2.3) holds. Since on a fixed ray $\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \xi$ there is at most one possible Reeb vector field satisfying (2.3), we conclude the lemma. □

From now on we define $\mathcal{H}$ to be the hyperplane of vectors ξ in the Reeb cone satisfying $c(\xi) = (n+1)l$. Then one has an explicit characterization of the tangent space of $\mathcal{H}$ at ξ_0.

Lemma 2.19. *A vector $Y \in \mathfrak{t}$ satisfies $c(Y) = 0$ if and only if*

$$\int_M \eta_0(Y)e^{-h_0}dv_{g_0} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Any such Y is of the form

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}J\nabla_X(r_0^2\eta_0(Y)).$$

So

$$\mathcal{L}_{JY}h_0 = \mathcal{L}_{JY}\log ||\Omega_l||_{\omega_0}^{2/l} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_X(r_0^2\eta_0(Y)) + \frac{2}{l}c(Y).$$

That is

$$\Delta_X(r_0^2\eta_0(Y)) = \nabla_X h_0 \cdot \nabla_X(r_0^2\eta_0(Y)) + \frac{2}{l}c(Y).$$

Lemma 2.12 implies that $c(Y) = 0$ if and only if $\int_M \eta_0(Y)e^{-h_0}dv_{g_0} = 0$. □

Now recall from [40] the volume of a Sasaki manifold depends only on the Reeb vector field, and the variation of volume when varying the Reeb vector fields is given by

$$\delta_Y Vol|_{\xi_0} = -2\int_X r_0^2\eta_0(Y)e^{-\frac{r_0^2}{2}}dV_X.$$

If Y is normalized, i.e. Y satisfies (2.11), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Fut_0(JY) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_X [4(n+1)\eta_0(Y) + r^2\Delta_X\eta_0(Y)]e^{-\frac{r_0^2}{2}}dV_X \\ &= 2(n+1)\int_X \eta_0(Y)e^{-\frac{r_0^2}{2}}dV_X. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain the following relation between the variation of volume and Futaki invariant(see [24])

$$\delta_Y Vol|_{\xi_0} = -2Fut_0(JY). \tag{2.12}$$

This implies the gradient of the volume functional is given by the Futaki invariant. In particular, the Reeb vector field of a Sasaki-Einstein metric is a critical point of the volume functional restricted on $\mathcal{H}$. If (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) is a Sasaki-Ricci soliton, then one can decrease the volume by taking $\eta_0(Y) = \underline{h_0}$:

$$\delta_Y Vol|_{\xi_0} = -2\int_X |\nabla_0 h_0|^2 e^{-\frac{r_0^2}{2}} dV_X \leq 0. \tag{2.13}$$

One hopes to do this continuously and get a flow of Sasaki-Ricci solitons which converge to a Sasaki-Einstein metric in the end. This is the starting point of this article.

2.5 Weighted Sasaki spheres

Now we introduce the notion of *simple Sasaki structures* on S^{2n+1}, and prove Theorem 1.4.

Consider the standard Sasaki structure on the sphere S^{2n+1}. The Kähler cone X is $\mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$ with the flat metric $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{i=0}^n dz^i\wedge d\bar{z}^i$. The contact form on the link M is given by $\eta = \frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{i=0}^n(y^i dx^i - x^i dy^i)$, and the Reeb vector field is $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^n(y^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i})$. Here $z^i = x^i + \sqrt{-1}y^i$. The automorphism group of this Sasaki metric is $U(n+1)$. We take a maximal torus $\mathbb{T}^{n+1}$ in $U(n+1)$ consisting of diagonal elements. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ is generated by the elements $\xi_i = y^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$. For any $\xi' = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i\xi_i$, we have $\eta(\xi') = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i|z^i|^2$. So for ξ' to be positive, it is equivalent that $a_i > 0$ for all i. Thus the Reeb cone in this case is $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$.

We call a Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1} *simple* if it is isomorphic to a Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1} that comes out of a simple deformation from the standard Sasaki structure (ξ, η, g). The Kähler cone of a simple Sasaki structure is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\setminus\{0\}$ and the corresponding Sasaki manifold is called a *weighted Sasaki sphere*. All simple Sasaki structures form a connected family of Sasaki structures on S^{2n+1}. For $a = (a_0, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, we denote by $\xi_a = \sum_i a_i\xi_i$. It is not hard to see that a simple Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1} with Reeb vector field ξ_a is quasi-regular if and only if $a \in \mathbb{Q}_+^n$, in which case we get a circle bundle over a weighted projective space. It is regular precisely when all the a_i's are equal, while the Sasaki structure is isomorphic to the standard one on S^{2n+1} up to a homothetic transformation.

Using toric geometry, it is proved in [24] that any simple Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1} can be deformed to a Sasaki-Ricci soliton through a transverse Kähler deformation. We claim they all have positive transverse bisectional curvature. Indeed, since by the construction in [24] the moduli space of simple Sasaki-Ricci solitons on S^{2n+1} is connected and the standard Sasaki structure (M, ξ, η, g) has positive transverse bisectional curvature, it suffices to prove that the positivity condition is both open and closed. The openness is obvious, while the closedness follows Proposition 7.4. Hence it completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1, leaving the technical ingredients to the later sections. We first prove

Theorem 3.1. *A simply-connected compact Sasaki-Ricci soliton with positive transverse bisectional curvature is a simple Sasaki structure on* S^{2n+1}.

Let (M, ξ, η, g) be such a compact Sasaki-Ricci soliton, and let (X, g_X, J) be the corresponding Kähler cone. Note by definition it satisfies the normalization (2.3). Denote by G be the automorphism group of the Sasaki structure (ξ, η, g), and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. We choose a maximal abelian Lie sub-algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which contains the Reeb vector field ξ. It generates a maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$ of G. As is already indicated in the end of the introduction, we want to deform the Sasaki soliton to a Sasaki-Einstein metric with positive transverse bisectional curvature by varying the Reeb vector field in $\mathfrak{t}$. If $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$, then from the definition we see the Ricci potential must be a constant, so (M, ξ, η, g) is Sasaki-Einstein. Then by Lemma 2.3 it is the standard Sasaki structure on the sphere S^{2n+1}, and we are done. So we may assume $\dim \mathfrak{t} \geq 2$ to proceed. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the hyperplane of normalized elements in $\mathfrak{t}$, as is defined in Section 2.4. By the local theory in Section 4 (c.f. Theorem 4.1), we know that there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of ξ in $\mathcal{H}$, and a smooth family of $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Sasaki-Ricci solitons (ζ, g_ζ) parametrized by Reeb vector fields $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}$ such that g_ξ is the original Sasaki-Ricci soliton g. So we have

$$Ric^T(\omega_\zeta) + \sqrt{-1}\partial_B\overline{\partial}_B f_\zeta = (2n+2)\omega_\zeta^T,$$

Here again we make the normalization

$$\int_M e^{-f_\zeta} dvol_\zeta = 1.$$

Moreover, g_ζ differs from $g_\xi = g$ by a simple deformation in the sense of Definition 2.8. We may assume $\mathcal{U}$ is so small that $\mathbb{T}$ is still a maximal torus of the automorphism group of the Sasaki structure (ζ, g_ζ) for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}$. Then by $\mathbb{T}$ invariance we see the Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field

$$Y_\zeta = \frac{1}{2} J\nabla_\zeta(r_\zeta^2 \underline{f_\zeta}) = \underline{f_\zeta}\zeta + \frac{r_\zeta^2}{2} J\nabla_\zeta \underline{f_\zeta}$$

lies in $\mathfrak{t}$. This defines a vector field on $\mathcal{U}$ by assigning to $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}$ the element in $\mathfrak{t}$ given by the Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field Y_ζ. Notice the family of Sasaki-Ricci solitons is not unique by construction, but Y_ζ is unique by Lemma 2.16, and thus the corresponding vector field on $\mathcal{U}$ is unique. We only need to pick one such family of Sasaki-Ricci solitons, and the estimate of the geometric quantities does not depend on the particular choice.

By standard ODE theory in finite dimension, the integral curve $\xi(t)$ for this vector field with $\xi(0) = \xi$ exists for a definite interval $[0, \epsilon)$. Here ϵ certainly depends on the initial data. $\xi(t)$ can be viewed as the negative gradient flow of the volume functional. Indeed, by Section 2.4 (c.f. (2.13)) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} Vol(M, g(t)) = -\int_X |\nabla_t f_t|^2 e^{-\frac{r_t^2}{2}} dvol_t \leq 0.$$

If this flow $\xi(t)$ exits for all time, and the corresponding family of Sasaki-Ricci solitons $(\xi(t), g(t))$ converges smoothly to a limit (ξ_∞, g_∞). Then clearly f_∞ is a constant, and g_∞ is a Sasaki-Einstein metric.

To analyze the above flow, we need to derive geometric bounds on $g(t)$. By the calculation in Section 5 (Theorem 5.1 and Equation (5.16)), the μ_X functional, hence the μ functional is increasing along the flow. To be more precise, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mu_X(g(t)) = 2\int_X |\nabla_t f_t|^2 e^{-\frac{r_t^2}{2}-f_t} dvol_t \geq 0.$$

Then Theorem 6.5 provides a uniformly geometric bound for all the $g(t)$, namely, all covariant derivatives of the curvature and the diameter of $g(t)$ have a uniform upper bound, and the volume of $g(t)$ has a uniform lower bound and upper bound. Moreover, by Proposition 7.4, $g(t)$ has positive transverse bisectional curvature for all t.

Now we consider the long time existence of the above flow. First as already mentioned in the above the flow is only well-defined for the Reeb vector field $\xi(t)$, and due to the existence of automorphisms, there is not a canonical flow defined for the corresponding Sasaki-Ricci solitons. However what we really need is simply a continuous path of Sasaki-Ricci solitons with Reeb vector fields $\xi(t)$. Secondly even with the above geometric bound, there could a priori still be a problem to extend the flow for all time. The problem is that the interval $[0, \epsilon)$ for the local existence depends on the initial data. Only geometric bound is not enough to guarantee a uniform ϵ, due to the possible jumping of the dimension of the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$. To overcome this difficulty we let $[0, T)(T \in (0, \infty])$ be the maximal time interval such that there is a continuous path of $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Sasaki-Ricci solitons $(\xi(t), \eta(t), g(t))$ that are simple deformations of $(\xi(0), \eta(0), g(0)) = (\xi, \eta, g)$, and $\xi(t)$ satisfies the gradient flow equation, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\xi(t) = Y_{\xi(t)}.$$

We know that $g(t)$ has uniformly bounded geometry. So there is a sequence $t_i \to T$ and a sequence of diffeomorphisms f_i such that $f_i^*(\xi(t_i), \eta(t_i), g(t_i))$ converges in C^∞ to a Sasaki structure $(\xi(T), \eta(T), g(T))$. Let $\mathbb{T}'$ be a maximal torus in $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi(T), \eta(T), g(T))$ whose Lie algebra contains ξ'. Then clearly $\dim \mathbb{T}' \geq \dim \mathbb{T}$. We claim if T is finite then $\dim \mathbb{T}' > \dim \mathbb{T}$. Otherwise by Theorem 4.2 in Section 4, there is a uniform $\epsilon > 0$, for all i large enough we have a smooth family of Sasaki-Ricci solitons (ζ, g_ζ) parametrized by Reeb vector fields $\zeta \in \mathfrak{t}$ for $|\zeta - \xi(t_i)| \leq \epsilon$ and $c(\zeta) = (n+1)l$, that are simple deformations of $(\xi(t_i), \eta(t_i), g(t_i))$, and such that $g_\zeta = g(t_i)$ when $\zeta = \xi(t_i)$. This ensures that by passing to a subsequence we know $\xi(t_i) \to \xi'(T) \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\xi'(T)$ lies in the Reeb cone. Also the sequence of Sasaki-Ricci solitons $(\xi(t_i), \eta(t_i), g(t_i))$ converges in C^∞ topology to a limit Sasaki-Ricci soliton $(\xi'(T), \eta'(T), g'(T))$, without a choice of diffeomorphism gauge. By the local existence theory above, we know the flow can be extended over T. This contradicts the definition of T. Therefore the claim is proved.

So either T is infinite, in which case we get long time existence of the flow, or T is the first time when the dimension of the maximal torus jumps up. In the latter case we denote $T_1 = T$ and continue the flow from $(\xi(T), \eta(T), g(T))$. Then we can repeat the previous discussion and meet a time $T_2 > T_1$, where the dimension of the maximal torus jumps up again. This dimension has an a priori bound. Indeed it can not exceed $n+1$, for the convexity theorem of Atiyah-Guillermin-Sternberg, the image of the moment map for the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on the Kähler cone is a convex cone in $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Since the action is effective, this cone must be of top dimensional. This implies $2\dim\mathbb{T} \leq 2n+2$, so $\dim\mathbb{T} \leq n+1$. Therefore the jumping must stop in finite steps. So what we arrive at in the end is a piecewise continuous flow segment $(\xi(t), \eta(t), g(t))(t \in [T_0 = 0, \infty))$ such that $\xi(t)$ is a smooth integral curve for the above vector field except at finitely many times $T_1 < \cdots < T_k$. In each interval $[T_j, T_{j+1})$, the Sasaki structure varies by a simple deformation, and for any T_j, there is a sequence $t_{j_i} < T_j$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} t_{j_i} = T_j$, such that $(\xi(t_{j_i}), \eta(t_{j_i}), g(t_{j_i})$ converges to $(\xi(T_j), \eta(T_j), g(T_j))$ in the sense of Cheeger-Gromov as $i \to \infty$. Now for all $t \geq T_k$ we know $g(t)$ has positive transverse bisectional curvature and uniformly bounded geometry, so there is a subsequence $t_i \to \infty$ such that $(\xi(t_i), \eta(t_i), g(t_i))$ converges in the Cheeger-Gromov sense to a limit Sasaki-Ricci soliton $(\xi(\infty), \eta(\infty), g(\infty))$. By the gradient nature of the flow $(\xi(\infty), \eta(\infty), g(\infty))$ is indeed Sasaki-Einstein.

Now again by Proposition 7.4 it follows that $(\xi(\infty), \eta(\infty), g(\infty))$ has positive transverse bisectional curvature. So by Lemma 2.3 it is isometric to the standard Sasaki structure on the sphere S^{2n+1}, or equivalently the corresponding Kähler cone is isometric to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ with flat metric. Since the diffeomorphism type is fixed during the deformation, this implies that the manifold M is diffeomorphic to the standard sphere S^{2n+1}. By the rigidity theorem 8.1, for i large enough $(\xi(t_i), \eta(t_i), g(t_i))$ is a simple Sasaki structure on the sphere S^{2n+1}. Hence for $t \geq T_k$ the Sasaki-Ricci soliton $(\xi(t), \eta(t), g(t))$ is a simple Sasaki structure. Again by Theorem 8.1, we see for the above sequence $t_{k_i} < T_k$ when i is large enough, $(\xi(t_{k_i}), \eta(t_{k_i}), g(t_{k_i}))$ is a simple Sasaki structure. Thus for all $t \in [T_{k-1}, T_k]$, $(\xi(t), \eta(t), g(t)$ is a simple Sasaki structure. Continue this process we see the original Sasaki-Ricci soliton is also a simple Sasaki structure. This proves Theorem 3.1.

To prove Theorem 1.1, let (M, ξ, η, g) be a simply connected compact Sasaki manifold with positive transverse bisectional curvature. We first run the Sasaki-Ricci flow $(\xi(t), \eta(t), g(t))$ from (ξ, η, g). As is proved in [32], the flow exists for all time and converges by sequence to a Sasaki-Ricci soliton in the sense of Cheeger-Gromov. By Proposition 7.1, we know it has positive transverse bisectional curvature. So Theorem 3.1 implies that any limit is a simple Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1}. By Theorem 8.1 again for t large enough $(\xi(t), \eta(t), g(t))$ is also a simple Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1}. Since the Sasaki-Ricci flow is a transverse Kähler deformation, we conclude that the original Sasaki structure (ξ, η, g) is also a simple Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1}. It completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now we prove Corollary 1.3. Given an n dimensional compact Kähler manifold (Z, J, ω) with positive bisectional curvature, we denote by l the Fano index of Z, and $\pi : M \to Z$ the unit circle bundle over Z with first Chern class given by $c_1(Z)/l$. Then M is simply connected. Let η be a connection one form on

M, i.e. $d\eta = \pi^*\omega$. Then M endowed with the metric $g_M = \pi^* g + \eta \otimes \eta$ is a compact Sasaki manifold with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Then by Theorem 1.1 (M, g_M) is a simple Sasaki structure on the sphere S^{2n+1}. But there is only one possible Reeb vector field for a simple Sasaki structure on S^{2n+1} to be regular, i.e. when ξ is proportional to $(1, \cdots, 1)$. It is easy to see then Z is bi-holomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^n$. The proof of Corollary 1.2 is similar, noting that a quasi-regular Sasaki manifold is precisely a $U(1)$ bundle over a Kähler orbifold such that all the local uniformizing groups inject into $U(1)$(See [5] for example).

4 Deformation of Sasaki-Ricci solitons: local theory

In this section we study the deformation theory of Sasaki-Ricci solitons under the variation of Reeb vector fields. Fix a compact Sasaki-Ricci soliton (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) and its Kähler cone (X, J, g_X). Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ be its automorphism group, and $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a maximal abelian Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ that contains ξ_0, and $\mathbb{T}$ the maximal torus of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ that is generated by $\mathfrak{t}$. By assumption

$$Ric_0^T + \sqrt{-1}\partial_{B,0}\overline{\partial}_{B,0} h_0 = (2n+2)\omega_0^T$$

with $L_{\omega_0}(\underline{h_0}) = 0$, and L_{ω_0} is the modified Laplacian operator defined with respect to the metric ω_0, as in Section 2.3. Let $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ be the Hamiltonian holomorphic vector field generated by h_0. Since g_0 is $\mathbb{T}$ invariant, we know Y_0 commutes with all elements in $\mathfrak{t}$. By maximality we see Y_0 lies in $\mathfrak{t}$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the hyperplane of normalized elements in $\mathfrak{t}$, as defined in Section 2.4. Then the deformation of Sasaki-Ricci solitons is unobstructed:

Theorem 4.1. *There is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of ξ_0 in $\mathcal{H}$ and a smooth family of $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Sasaki-Ricci soliton $(M, \zeta, \eta_\zeta, g_\zeta)$ parametrized by the Reeb vector field $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, $(\eta_{\xi_0}, g_{\xi_0}) = (\eta_0, g_0)$, and $(\zeta, \eta_\zeta, g_\zeta)$ is a simple deformation of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0).*

Proof. By a type I deformation(c.f. Lemma 2.5), there is a small neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of ξ_0 in $\mathcal{H}$, and a smooth family of $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Sasaki metrics g_ξ on M with Reeb vector field $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$, such that $g_{\xi_0} = g_0$ is the original Sasaki-Ricci soliton. For large integer k, We denote by L_k^2 the space of $\mathbb{T}$ invariant L_k^2 real valued functions on M, and denote by $W_{k,\xi}$ the space of $\mathbb{T}$ invariant L_k^2 Hamiltonian holomorphic potentials of ω_ξ. Let $V_{k,\xi}$ be the orthogonal complement of $W_{k,\xi}$ with respect to the L^2 inner product defined using volume form $e^{-h_\xi}d\mu_\xi$. Here h_ξ is the normalized Ricci potential of ω_ξ, i.e.

$$Ric^T(\omega_\xi) + \sqrt{-1}\partial_{B,\xi}\overline{\partial}_{B,\xi} h_\xi = (2n+2)\omega_\xi^T,$$

and $\int_M e^{-h_\xi} d\mu_\xi = 1$. Now we define a map

$$F : \mathcal{V} \times V_{k+2,0} \times \mathfrak{t} \to L_k^2; (\xi, \phi, Y) \mapsto \log \frac{(\omega_{\xi,\phi}^T)^n}{(\omega_\xi^T)^n} + (2n+2)\phi + h_\xi + \eta_{\xi,\phi}(Y + Y_0),$$

where $\omega_{\xi,\phi}^T = \omega_\xi^T + \partial_{B,\xi}\overline{\partial}_{B,\xi}\phi$ is a transverse Kähler deformation of ω^T, and $\eta_{\xi,\phi}(Y + Y_0)$ is the Hamiltonian holomorphic potential with respect to $\omega_{\xi,\phi}$

corresponding to $Y + Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}$. The zeroes of the map F are $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Sasaki-Ricci solitons with Ricci potential in $\mathfrak{t}$. The differential of F at $(\xi_0, 0, 0)$ along the last two components is given by

$$P(\phi, Y) = \frac{1}{2} L_{\omega_0}\phi + \eta_0(Y).$$

By Section 2.3, P is an isomorphism. Thus by the implicit function theorem there is smaller neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$, such for any $|\xi - \xi_0|$ small there is a (ϕ, Y) small depending smoothly on ξ such that $\omega_{\xi,\phi}$ is a Sasaki-Ricci soliton with Reeb vector field ξ. Notice $\omega_{\xi,\phi}$ is a transverse Kähler deformation of ω_ξ. So this proves Theorem 4.1. □

We also need a uniform version of the above theorem. Fix a Sasaki-Ricci soliton (M, ξ, η, g) with a maximal compact torus $\mathbb{T}$ of dimension k.

Theorem 4.2. *Fix a large integer l. There are constants $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that for any Sasaki-Ricci soliton (M, ξ', η', g') with a maximal compact torus $\mathbb{T}'$ of dimension k satisfying*

$$||\xi' - \xi||_{C^l} + ||\eta' - \eta||_{C^l} + ||g' - g||_{C^l} \leq \epsilon,$$

there is a smooth family of $\mathbb{T}'$ invariant Sasaki-Ricci soliton coming from simple deformations of (ξ', η', g') parametrized by Reeb vector field ζ in $\mathfrak{t}'$ which satisfies (2.3) and $|\zeta - \xi'|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta$. Here the C^l and L^∞ norms are defined using the fixed metric g.

The key point here is that we assume the dimension of maximal torus stays the same. To prove this, we appeal to the theorem of Grove-Karcher on conjugating C^1 close group actions. The closedness of the Sasaki structures implies the closedness of the action of the automorphism groups. Then by [28](see also the proof of Lemma 8.2) up to conjugation by a small diffeomorphism of M one can assume $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi', \eta', g')$ is a subgroup of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$. Then $\mathbb{T}'$ is also a compact torus in G. By the dimension assumption, it is a maximal torus in G. So it is conjugate to $\mathbb{T}$ by a small diffeomorphism of M. Thus we may assume $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}'$. Then one can easily adapt the proof of Theorem 4.1 in a uniform way to prove Theorem 4.2.

5 Variation of the μ entropy

In this section we consider Perelman's entropy functional on Sasaki manifolds, which were introduced in [18, 32] and were used to generalize Perelman's results in Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano manifolds to Sasaki-Ricci flow. Different from [18, 32], where the Reeb vector field is fixed along Sasaki-Ricci flow, we are interested in $\mathcal{W}$ and μ_X functionals on the cone (X, J) when the Reeb vector fields vary in $\mathfrak{t}$. The main result in this section is Theorem 5.1. It implies, in particular that the only critical point of μ_X functional is Sasaki-Einstein, when the Reeb vector fields are allowed to vary in $\mathfrak{t}$.

Perelman's $\mathcal{W}, \mu$ functionals are involved with a parameter τ; as in Kähler setting, it is more convenient to assign τ to be a positive constant. For our purpose, we would assign $\tau = 1/4(n + 1)$. This choice corresponds to the normalization condition (2.3). We will roughly recall these functionals on Sasaki manifolds (the link), see [18, 32] for details.

5.1 μ entropy on the link

Let (M, ξ, η, Φ, g) be a compact Sasaki manifold. Define the W functional as follows

$$W(g, f) = \int_M e^{-f}(R + |\nabla f|^2 + 4(n+1)f)dv_g, \tag{5.1}$$

where f is always assumed to be basic, namely $df(\xi) = 0$ and to satisfy the normalized condition

$$\int_M e^{-f} dv_g = 1. \tag{5.2}$$

Note that we assume ξ is fixed and the metric variation is induced by

$$\delta\eta = \Phi \circ (d\phi), \tag{5.3}$$

where ϕ is a basic function. Let $\delta f = h$ and $v = tr(g^{-1}\delta g)$. We compute,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W = & \int_M (-\delta g, Ric + Hess f)dv_g + \int_M 4(n+1)he^{-f}dv_g \\ & + \int_M e^{-f}\left(\frac{v}{2} - h\right)(2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R + 4(n+1)f)dv_g. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Define the μ functional

$$\mu(g) = \inf\left\{\mathcal{W}(g, f) : \int_M e^{-f}dv_g = 1.\right\}$$

One can show that the minimizer of μ functional always exists. When $\delta g = 0$, the minimizer satisfies the equation

$$2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R + 4(n+1)f = A, \tag{5.5}$$

where A is a constant. By (5.4), a direct computation gives that,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W = & -\int_M e^{-f}(\delta g^T, Ric^T - 2(n+1)g^T + Hess^T f)dv_g \\ & + 4(n+1)\int_M \left(h - \frac{v}{2}\right)e^{-f}dv_g \\ & + \int_M e^{-f}\left(\frac{v}{2} - h\right)(2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R + 4(n+1)f)dv_g \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

It then follows from (5.5) and (5.6) that

$$\delta\mu = -\int_M e^{-f}(\delta g^T, Ric^T - 2(n+1)g^T + Hess^T f)dv_g.$$

5.2 μ_X entropy on the cone

We study the variation of $\mathcal{W}$ functional and μ functional when the Reeb vector field ξ is allowed to vary; we fix the underlying complex manifold of its Kähler cone (X, J). It turns out to be more natural to consider the $\mathcal{W}$ and μ functionals on the cone $(X = C(M), g_X, J)$. The cone metric g_X in terms of the Sasaki metric g on the link M is given by

$$g_X = dr^2 + r^2 g.$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{W}(g_X, f) = \int_X e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}-f}\left(R_X + |\nabla_X f|^2 + (2+2/n)f\right) dV_X, \tag{5.7}$$

where f is always assumed to be basic and r invariant, namely $df(\xi) = df(\partial_r) = 0$ and to satisfy the normalized condition

$$\int_X e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}-f} dV_X = 2^{n+1}(n+1)!. \tag{5.8}$$

We also consider, given (5.8),

$$\mu_X(g_X) = \inf_f \mathcal{W}(g_X, f). \tag{5.9}$$

Note that $dV_X = 2(n+1)r^{2n+1}dr \wedge dv_g$, where dv_g is the corresponding volume form on the link M and for any integer $n \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} r^{2n+1} dr = 2^n n!.$$

The scalar curvature R_X and $|\nabla_X f|^2$ are related to R and $|\nabla f|^2$ respectively

$$R_X = r^{-2}R,\ |\nabla_X f|^2 = r^{-2}|\nabla f|^2.$$

Hence $\mathcal{W}$ functional is related to the W functional on the link as follows,

$$\mathcal{W}(g_X, f) = (2n+2)2^{n-1}(n-1)!W(g, f) \tag{5.10}$$

and the normalized condition (5.8) is reduced to (5.2). It follows that $\mu_X(g_X) = (2n+2)2^{n-1}(n-1)!\mu(g)$ and the minimizer f still satisfies the equation (5.5). We can rewrite the equation on the cone X as

$$\mathcal{Q}(f) := 2\Delta_X f - |\nabla_X f|^2 + R_X + \frac{4(n+1)f}{r^2} - Ar^{-2} = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Note that the variation of the Kähler cone metric is induced from the variation of its Kähler potential $r^2/2$. Suppose

$$\delta(r^2/2) = r^2\phi.$$

We denote that the corresponding variation of the Reeb vector field ξ is given by $\delta\xi = Y$, and denote the variation of f by $\delta f = h$. Suppose Y is generated by a Hamiltonian potential u, such that $du(\partial_r) = du(\xi) = 0$ and

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}J\nabla_X(r^2 u) = u\xi + \frac{r^2}{2}J\nabla_X u. \tag{5.12}$$

It is more convenient if we define $\tilde{f} = f + r^2/2$ and $\delta\tilde{f} = \tilde{h} = h + r^2\phi$. Denote

$$\mathcal{W}(g_X, \tilde{f}) = \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}(R_X + |\nabla\tilde{f}|^2 + (2+2/n)\tilde{f})dV_X$$

Since $df(r\partial_r) = 0$, we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(g_X, f) &= \mathcal{W}(g_X, \tilde{f}) - \int_X e^{-r^2/2-f}r^2(2+1/n)dV_X \\ &= \mathcal{W}(g_X, \tilde{f}) - c_n,\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where $c_n = 2^{n+2}(1+1/n)(2n+1)(n+1)!$. Then the variation of $\mathcal{W}$ on the Kähler cone (X, J) is given by the following.

Theorem 5.1. *We have,*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{W}(g_X, f) = & \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\mathcal{Q}(f)\left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h} - 2\phi\right) dV_X \\ & - \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} r^2 (\delta g^T, Ric_X + Hess^T f) dV_X \\ & + 2\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\left((\nabla_X f, \nabla_X u) - u\right) dV_X.\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

As a consequence, the variation of the μ_X functional on the cone X is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mu(g_X) = & 2\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\left((\nabla_X f, \nabla_X u) - u\right) dV_X \\ & - \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} r^2 (\delta g^T, Ric_X + Hess^T f) dV_X.\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Theorem 5.1 has the following direct consequence. Suppose (M, ξ, g) is a Sasaki-Ricci soliton with (normalized) transverse Ricci potential f. Let Y be generated by $u = \underline{f}$, where

$$\underline{f} = f - \int_M e^{-f} f dvol_g,$$

we then obtain

$$\delta_Y \mu(g_X) = 2\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} |\nabla_X f|^2 dV_X \geq 0, \tag{5.16}$$

which is zero if and only if f is a constant. In particular, it implies that any critical point of μ_X functional, restricted on the space of all Kähler cone metrics, is necessarily Sasaki-Einstein.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 involves direct but rather complicated computations. We denote the variation of the metric as $(\delta g_X)_{ij} = (v_X)_{ij}$, $v_X = tr(g_X^{-1}\delta g_X)$ and $\delta(f) = h$. Then the standard formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta R_X &= -\Delta_X v_X + Hess(\delta g_X) - (\delta g_X, Ric_X) \\ \delta dV_X &= \frac{v_X}{2} dV_X.\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Note that $\nabla_X(r^2/2) = r\partial_r$ is a holomorphic vector field and $Hess(r^2/2)$ is J invariant. Hence $g_X = Hess(r^2/2)$ and

$$Hess\tilde{f} = Hess f + Hess(r^2/2) = Hess f + g_X.$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{W}(g_X, f) = & \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\mathcal{Q}(f)\left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) + \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\frac{A}{r^2}\left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X \\ & + \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\left(\left(2 + \frac{2}{n}\right) f - \frac{4(n+1)f}{r^2}\right)\left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X \\ & + 2/n \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\tilde{h} dV_X + \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\frac{r^2}{n}\left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X \\ & - \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}(\delta g_X, Ric_X + Hess f) dV_X.\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

We will now deduce (5.14) from (5.18). For this we need several lemmas. First we have the following relations between ϕ, h and Y. The conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_{r\partial_r}(r^2) = 2r^2 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_\xi r = 0$$

give the linearized equations

$$r\partial_r\phi = -\eta(Y) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_\xi\phi = -r^{-1}dr(Y) = 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Since f is r-invariant and $\delta(r\partial_r) = -JY$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{r\partial_r}h = -df(\delta r\partial_r) = df(JY) = (JY, \nabla_X f) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g f). \tag{5.20}$$

Lemma 5.2. *There exist* (ϕ_0, ϕ_1) *and* (h_0, h_1) *such that*

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \log r;\ h = h_0 + h_1 \log r, \tag{5.21}$$

where ϕ_i, h_i, *are all* r*-invariant and* $d\phi_i(\xi) = 0$. *Furthermore,*

$$\phi_1 = -u, h_1 = -\frac{r^2}{2}(\nabla_X u, \nabla_X f) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_g u, \nabla_g f).$$

Proof. Let $\phi_0 = \phi|_M$. By (5.19), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{r\partial_r}\phi = -\eta(Y) = -u,\ d\phi_0(\xi) = 0.$$

Hence $\partial_r\phi = -r^{-1}u$. Note that u is basic and r-invariant. It follows that, by taking the integration in r direction,

$$\phi = \phi_0 - u\log r = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \log r.$$

Let $h_0 = h|_{\{r=1\}}$ and $h_1 = dh(r\partial_r)$. Then h_1 is r-invariant and $\partial_r h = r^{-1}h_1$. Taking integration in the r-direction, we get $h = h_0 + h_1 \log r$. □

Lemma 5.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta g_X, Hess f) &= (\delta g_X, Hess^T f)\\ &= 2\phi(R_X + \Delta_X f) + r^2(\delta g^T, Ric_X + Hess^T f).\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Proof. Since (X, g_X) is a Kahler cone metric, we have

$$Ric_X(\partial_r, \cdot) = Ric_X(\xi, \cdot) = 0. \tag{5.23}$$

Note that $Hess f \equiv 0$ when restricted to the subspace spanned by $\{\xi, r\partial_r\}$ of TX. For any fixed $E \in \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Ker}(\eta) \subset TM$, $g_X(r\partial_r, E) = g_X(\xi, E) = 0$. Taking variation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta g_X(r\partial_r, E) &= -g_X(\delta r\partial_r, E) = g_X(JY, E)\\ \delta g_X(\xi, E) &= -g_X(\delta\xi, E) = -g_X(Y, E).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that, using $\nabla^X_{r\partial_r}E = E, \nabla^X_E\xi = JE$,

$$\begin{aligned}Hess f(r\partial_r, E) &= -\nabla^X_{\nabla^X_{r\partial_r}E}f = -\nabla^X_E f\\ Hess f(\xi, E) &= -\nabla^X_{\nabla^X_E\xi}f = -\nabla^X_{JE}f\end{aligned}$$

It then follows that, for an orthonormal basis $\{\partial_r, r^{-1}\xi, E_i, (1 \le i \le 2n)\}$,

$$\sum_i (\delta g_X(\partial_r), E_i), Hessf(\partial_r, E_i) = -r^{-2} g_X(JY, \nabla_X f).$$

Note that $\{\partial_r, r^{-1}\xi, JE_i, (1 \le i \le 2n)\}$ is also an orthonormal basis, we have

$$\sum_i (\delta g_X(r^{-1}\xi, JE_i), Hess(r^{-1}\xi, JE_i)) = r^{-2} g_X(JY, \nabla_X f).$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta g_X, Ric_X + Hessf) &= (\delta g_X, Ric_X + Hess^T f) \\ &= (\delta g_X, Ric^T - 2(n+1)g^T + Hess^T f).\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Now we compute

$$\delta g_X = 2\phi g_X + r^2 \delta g^T + S, \tag{5.25}$$

where the symmetric two-tensor S is given by

$$S = rdr \otimes d\phi + rd\phi \otimes dr + r^2(\eta \otimes \delta\eta + \delta\eta \otimes \eta).$$

Note that $\delta\eta = J \circ d\phi$. A direct computation gives

$$(S, Ric^T - 2(n+1)g^T + Hess^T f) = 0.$$

The lemma then follows. ☐

We would also need several integral identities.

Lemma 5.4. *For any $k > -1$, we have*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/2}(2(k+1)r^{2k+1} - r^{2k+3})dr = 0 \tag{5.26}$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/2}\left(r^{2k+1} + 2(k+1)r^{2k+1}\log r - r^{2k+3}\log r\right)dr = 0. \tag{5.27}$$

As a consequence, for any function ψ such that $d\psi(\partial_r) = 0$ and $k > -1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\psi r^{2(k-n)}(2(k+1) - r^2)dV_X = 0 \\ &\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\psi r^{2(k-n)}(1 + 2(k+1)\log r - r^2\log r)dV_X = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Proof. Integration by parts, we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/2} d(r^{2k+2}) = -\int_0^\infty r^{2k+2} d(e^{-r^2/2}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/2} r^{2k+3} dr$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/2}\log r d(r^{2k+2}) &= -\int_0^\infty r^{2k+2} d(e^{-r^2/2}\log r) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/2}(r^{2k+3}\log r - r^{2k+1})dr.\end{aligned}$$

These prove (5.26) and (5.27). While (5.28) follows directly by taking the integral in r direction. ☐

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof. By (5.18) and (5.22), we need to compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B} :=& \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \left(\left(2+\frac{2}{n}\right) f - \frac{4(n+1)f}{r^2} + \frac{A}{r^2}\right) \left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X \\ &+ 2/n \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \tilde{h} dV_X + \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \frac{r^2}{n} \left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X \\ &- 2\int_X \phi(R_X + \Delta_X f) dV_X,\end{aligned}$$

and then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{W}(g_X, f) =& \mathcal{B} - \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} r^2 (\delta g^T, Ric_X + Hess^T f) dV_X \\ &+ \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \mathcal{Q}(f)(v_X/2 - \tilde{h}) dV_X.\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

The normalization condition (5.8) gives

$$\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X = 0. \tag{5.30}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}2/n \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \tilde{h} dV_X =& \frac{1}{n} \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} v_X dV_X = \frac{1}{n} \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \Delta_X(r^2\phi) dV_X \\ =& \frac{1}{n} \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} r^2 \phi(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2 + r^2 - 2(n+1)).\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

Note that since $df(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}) = 0$, it follows from (5.8) that

$$\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} r^2 dV_X = const. \tag{5.32}$$

Taking variation of (5.32), we get

$$\int_X e^{\tilde{f}} \frac{r^2}{n} \left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X = -\frac{2}{n} \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} r^2 \phi dV_X. \tag{5.33}$$

It follows from (5.31) and (5.33) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2}{n} \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \tilde{h} dV_X + \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \frac{r^2}{n} \left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde{h}\right) dV_X \\ =& \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \frac{r^2}{n} \phi(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2) dV_X + \frac{1}{n} \int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} r^2 \phi(r^2 - 2(n+2)) dV_X\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Note that $r^2(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2) = (-\Delta_g f + |\nabla_g f|^2)$ is invariant in r direction. We compute, using (5.28),

$$\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \frac{r^2 \phi_0}{n} (-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2) dV_X = 2\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}} \phi_0 (-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2) dV_X, \tag{5.35}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_X e^{\tilde f}\frac{r^2}{n}\phi_1 \log r(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X \\
=&2\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi_1 \log r(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X + \frac{1}{n}\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi_1(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.36}
$$

It then follows from (5.35) and (5.36) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\frac{r^2}{n}\phi(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X \\
=&2\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X + \frac{1}{n}\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi_1(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.37}
$$

Similarly, using (5.28), we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_X e^{-\tilde f} r^2\phi(r^2 - 2(n+2))dV_X \\
=&\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi_0 r^2(r^2 - 2(n+2))dV_X + \int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi_1 r^2(r^2\log r - 2(n+2)\log r)dV_X \\
=&\int_X e^{\tilde f}\phi_1 r^2 dV_X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.38}
$$

It then follows from (5.34), (5.37) and (5.38) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2/n\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\tilde h dV_X + \int_X e^{-\tilde f}\frac{r^2}{n}\left(\frac{v_X}{2} - \tilde h\right)dV_X \\
=&2\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi(-\Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X + \frac{1}{n}\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\phi_1(r^2 - \Delta_X f + |\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.39}
$$

Now we consider, by (5.26),

$$
\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\left((2+2/n)f - 4(n+1)r^{-2}f\right)dV_X = 0. \tag{5.40}
$$

Taking variation of (5.40), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\left((2+2/n)f - 4(n+1)r^{-2}f\right)(v_X/2 - \tilde h)dV_X \\
&\quad + \int_X e^{-\tilde f}\left((2+2/n)h - 4(n+1)r^{-2}h + 8(n+1)r^{-2}f\phi\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.41}
$$

We compute, using (5.28),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\left((2+2/n)h - 4(n+1)r^{-2}h\right)dV_X \\
=&\int_X e^{-\tilde f}\left((2+2/n)h_0 - 4(n+1)r^{-2}h_0\right)dV_X \\
&+ \int_X e^{-\tilde f}\left((2+2/n)h_1\log r - 4(n+1)r^{-2}h_1\log r\right)dV_X \\
=&\int_X \frac{2n+2}{n}e^{-\tilde f}r^{-2}h_1 dV_X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.42}
$$

It then follows from (5.41) and (5.42) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\left((2+2/n)f-4(n+1)r^{-2}f\right)(v_X/2-\tilde{h})dV_X \\
=&-\int_X \frac{2n+2}{n}e^{-\tilde{f}}r^{-2}h_1 dV_X-\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}8(n+1)r^{-2}f\phi dV_X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.43}
$$

Similar as in (5.32), we have

$$
\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\frac{A}{r^2}dV_X = const. \tag{5.44}
$$

Taking variation of (5.44), we get

$$
\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\frac{A}{r^2}\left(\frac{v_X}{2}-\tilde{h}\right)dV_X = 2\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}Ar^{-2}\phi dV_X \tag{5.45}
$$

We then compute, by (5.39), (5.43) and (5.45) that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}=&-\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}2\phi\mathcal{Q}(f)dV_X-\int_X \frac{2n+2}{n}e^{-\tilde{f}}r^{-2}h_1 dV_X \\
&+\frac{1}{n}\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\phi_1(r^2-\Delta_X f+|\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.46}
$$

We then compute, integration by parts,

$$
\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\phi_1(-\Delta_X f+|\nabla_X f|^2)dV_X=\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}r^{-2}\Delta_g\phi_1 dV_X. \tag{5.47}
$$

It then follows from (5.46) and (5.47) that

$$
\mathcal{B}=-\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}2\phi\mathcal{Q}(f)dV_X+\int_X e^{-\tilde{f}}\left(-2r^{-2}h_1+\frac{r^2}{n}\phi_1\right)dV_X. \tag{5.48}
$$

Then by (5.29) and (5.48), (5.14) follows directly. It completes the proof. ☐

6 Compactness of Sasaki-Ricci solitons with positive curvature

In this section we prove compactness of Sasaki-Ricci solitons with positive transverse bisectional curvature satisfying the normalization (2.3). First we need the following result for Sasaki-Ricci solitons.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(M_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g_i)$ be a sequence of compact Sasaki Ricci solitons of dimension $2n+1$. Assume that the volume $Vol(M_i, g_i)$ is uniformly bounded above by V and the entropy $\mu_i(M_i, g_i)$ is uniformly bounded below by μ. Then there are constants $D, R > 0$ depending only on V, μ and n, such that the transverse diameter $diam^T(M_i, g_i) \le D$ and the transverse scalar curvature $|R^T(M_i, g_i)| \le R$ for all i.*

This is a generalization of the corresponding results for Kähler-Ricci solitons, as in [53], with the diameter bound replaced by transverse diameter bound in Sasaki setting. We remark that similar results actually hold for compact gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with volume bounded above and μ bounded below and the proof is identical to the Kähler case.

Transverse distance is defined to be the distance between orbits of the Reeb vector field ξ.

Definition 6.2. For $x, y \in M$, let $\mathcal{O}_x, \mathcal{O}_y$ denote the orbits of the Reeb vector field ξ through x, y respectively, then define

$$d^T(x,y) = d(\overline{\mathcal{O}_x}, \overline{\mathcal{O}_y}).$$

In [18] and [32], a uniform bound on the transverse diameter and transverse scalar curvature were derived along a fixed Sasaki-Ricci flow. From the proof one can see the estimates hold uniformly depending only on the dimension, the volume and μ functional lower bound of the initial metric. Given (6.1) below, the proof of for Sasaki-Ricci flow can be applied to Sasaki-Ricci solitons with the volume bounded above and μ functional bounded below and Proposition (6.1) follows; see [18] and [32] for details and we omit the proof.

Note that for a Sasaki-Ricci soliton satisfying (2.3), the volume V and the μ functional satisfies

$$V \geq \exp(\mu/4(n+1) - 2n). \tag{6.1}$$

This is a rather standard fact for compact Ricci solitons and the proof here is almost identical. We give a sketch for completeness. Let (M, g) be a Sasaki-Ricci soliton with normalized potential f. Recall the Sasaki-Ricci soliton equation implies

$$R^T + \Delta f = 4n(n+1).$$

Note that f is a critical point of W functional and $\mu(g) = W(g, f)$. It then follows that

$$2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R^T + 4(n+1)f = \mu.$$

Hence we can deduce the identity

$$f = \frac{\mu + |\nabla f|^2 + R^T}{4(n+1)} - 2n.$$

A straightforward maximum principle as in [34] (see Proposition 1) shows that $R^T \geq 0$. It then follows that $\min f \geq \mu/4(n+1) - 2n$. The normalization condition (5.2) then implies $\log V \geq \min f \geq \mu/4(n+1) - 2n$.

Remark 6.3. The arguments in [18] and [32] are quite different when the Sasaki structure is irregular. The first author generalized Perelman's results to Sasaki-Ricci flow in quasiregular case and then use an approximation argument and the maximum principle to deal with the irregular case. Such an approximation argument works for irregular Sasaki-Ricci solitons and actually μ functional is smooth when varying Reeb vector fields. See Section 9 for further discussion. Alternatively, we could also follow [18] to obtain Proposition 6.1 directly. Actually it is observed [32] that the diameter is also bounded along the Sasaki-Ricci flow since the Reeb vector field, and hence the Reeb foliation is fixed; but this bound depends on the Reeb foliation, hence it might not be uniform when the Reeb vector field changes.

Proposition 6.1 only provides transverse diameter bound. To obtain certain compactness results for Sasaki-Ricci solitons, it is important to bound the diameter. Here we fix a compact Sasaki-manifold (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Let (X, g_X, J) be its Kähler cone. Fix a maximal compact torus $\mathbb{T}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$, and denote by $\mathfrak{t}$ its Lie algebra. We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the space of all $\mathbb{T}$ invariant Kähler cone structures on (X, J) that is a simple deformation of g_X. Then we have

Proposition 6.4. *For any Kähler cone structure (ξ, g_X) in $\mathcal{M}$ with uniformly bounded volume, there exists a closed orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of ξ on M such that its length with respect to g is uniformly bounded.*

Proof. If $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$, then all metrics in $\mathcal{M}$ differ by a homothetic transformation, and the statement easily follows. Hence we can assume $2 \leq \dim \mathfrak{t} \leq n+1$. By a small type I deformation if necessary, we may assume (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) is quasi-regular. For any $(M, \xi, \eta, g) \in \mathcal{M}$, Lemma 2.7 implies $\eta_0(\xi) > 0$, and then by Lemma 2.5, there is a type I deformation of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) with Reeb vector field ξ. Let $(\xi, \tilde{g}_X)$ be the Kähler cone metric, and $(\xi, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ the corresponding Sasaki structure on M. Then $(\xi, \tilde{\eta}, g)$ and (ξ, η, g) differ only by a transverse Kähler deformation. Then for any closed orbit of ξ, it has the same length with respect to g as to $\tilde{g}$. Moreover, (M, ξ, η, g) and $(M, \xi, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ have the same volume. So we only need to prove the statement for $(M, \xi, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$. To prove this, first we note that the Reeb foliations of ξ and ξ_0 always share some common orbits by Rukimbira [46]. We sketch a proof here for completeness. Note that $\tilde{\eta} = \eta_0/\eta_0(\xi)$ on M. Let p be a point of the maximum of $\eta_0(\xi)$, then $d(\eta_0(\xi)) = 0$ at p. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0}\eta_0(\xi) = 0$, then $\eta_0(\xi)$ obtains the maximum along the orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ of ξ_0 through p. Since along the orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$,

$$d\tilde{\eta} = (\eta_0(\xi))^{-1} d\eta_0,$$

it follows that ξ and ξ_0 are proportional along $\mathcal{O}_p$; hence $\mathcal{O}_p$ is also a closed orbit of ξ. Now suppose the orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ has length l with respect to g_0, then it has the length $l\tilde{\eta}(\xi_0) = l/m$ with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}$, where m is the value of $\eta_0(\xi)$ at p. Note that the volume of $(M, \tilde{g})$ is given by

$$Vol(M, \tilde{g}) = (n!2^n)^{-1} \int_M \tilde{\eta} \wedge (d\tilde{\eta})^n = (n!2^n)^{-1} \int_M (\eta_0(\xi))^{-(n+1)} \eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n.$$

Since $\eta_0(\xi)$ achieves a maximum at p, then

$$Vol(M, \tilde{g}) \geq m^{-(n+1)} Vol(M, g_0).$$

It then follows that m^{-1}, and hence the length of $\mathcal{O}_p$ with respect to $\tilde{g}$, is uniformly bounded above, since the length l is uniformly bounded above for the quasi-regular Sasaki structure (ξ_0, η_0, g_0). This completes the proof. ☐

Now we consider the compactness of a family of Sasaki-Ricci solitons.

Theorem 6.5. *Let (M, ξ_i, η_i, g_i) be a sequence of Sasaki-Ricci solitons in $\mathcal{M}$ with positive (nonnegative) transverse bisectional curvature. Assume that $Vol(M, g_i)$ is uniformly bounded above and $\mu_i(M, g_i)$ is uniformly bounded below. Then by passing to a subsequence it converges to a Sasaki-Ricci soliton $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ with positive (nonnegative) transverse bisectional curvature in the smooth Cheeger-Gromov topology.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.1, we know the transverse diameter and the transverse scalar curvature are uniformly bounded above. By the non-negativity of transverse bisectional curvature, the sectional curvature of (M, g_i) is uniformly bounded. By Proposition 6.4, the diameter of (M, g_i) is then uniformly bounded. By (6.1), we have

$$Vol(M_i, g_i) \geq \exp(\mu_i(M_i, g_i)(4(n+1))^{-1} - 2n).$$

Hence by passing to a subsequence we get a limit manifold $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ topology. Then the Sasaki-Ricci soliton equation provides a uniform bound on all the k-th covariant derivatives of the Riemannian curvature tensor, so the convergence is in the smooth Cheeger-Gromov topology. It is then clear that $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ still satisfies the Sasaki-Ricci soliton equation, and the transverse bisectional curvature is nonnegative. If (M, g_i) has positive transverse bisectional curvature, then by Proposition 7.4 in the next section, $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ still has positive transverse bisectional curvature. □

7 Positivity of Sasaki-Ricci solitons

Let (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) be a compact Sasaki manifold with positive transverse bisectional curvature satisfying (2.3). In [32], it is proved that the Sasaki-Ricci flow $(\xi(t), \eta(t), g(t))$ starting from (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) has positive transverse bisectional curvature and uniformly bounded geometry for all time, and converges by sequence to a Sasaki-Ricci soliton $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ with non-negative transverse bisectional curvature in the smooth Cheeger-Gromov topology. We have the following technical result,

Proposition 7.1. *The limit Sasaki-Ricci soliton* $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ *has positive transverse bisectional curvature.*

Such result follows from the Kähler setting [15] and it can be proved by showing the following two lemmata.

Lemma 7.2. *Any limit Sasaki-Ricci soliton* $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ *has positive transverse Ricci curvature.*

Proof. The evolution equation for transverse Ricci curvature along the Sasaki-Ricci flow is given by

$$\frac{\partial Ric^T}{\partial t} = \Delta Ric^T + Ric^T \cdot Rm^T - (Ric^T)^2$$

where $(Ric^T \cdot Rm^T)_{i\bar{j}} = R^T_{l\bar{k}} R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$. Using the maximum principle as in Kähler setting (see Prop. 8.4 in [32], also Prop. 1 in [1] and Prop. 1.1 in [41]), it follows that nonnegative transverse bisectional curvature is preserved along the Sasaki-Ricci flow, and if the transverse Ricci curvature is positive at one point, it then becomes positive instantly. It is clear that $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ has nonnegative transverse bisectional curvature, and that the transverse Ricci curvature of $(M, \xi_\infty, \eta_\infty, g_\infty)$ is positive at least at one point. Hence (M, g_∞) has positive transverse Ricci curvature everywhere. □

Since the geometry is uniformly controlled along the flow, there is indeed a uniform positive lower bound of transverse Ricci for any limit. Thus there are constants $C_2 > C_1 > 0$, and a time T_1, such that

$$C_1 g_t^T \leq Ric_t^T \leq C_2 g_t^T, \tag{7.1}$$

for any $t > T_1$.

Lemma 7.3. *There is a constant $\epsilon > 0$, and a time $T_0 > 0$, such that the transverse bisectional curvature of $g(t)$ for $t \geq T_0$ has a uniform positive lower bound, i.e. for any two transverse $(1,0)$ tangent vectors u and v we have*

$$Rm^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} u^i u^{\bar{j}} v^k v^{\bar{l}} \geq \epsilon (g^T_{i\bar{j}} g^T_{k\bar{l}} + g^T_{i\bar{l}} g^T_{k\bar{j}}) u^i u^{\bar{j}} v^k v^{\bar{l}}.$$

Here the time T_0 may depend on the initial data, but the constant ϵ can be chosen to depend only on C_1 and C_2, not on the initial data.

Proof. As in [32], the maximum principle arguments in Kähler setting can be carried over to transverse Kähler structure with slight modification. So this lemma follows similarly as in the Kähler case, see Lemma 6 in [15]. We sketch a proof here for completeness. We know the evolution of the transverse curvature tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}}{\partial t} &= \Delta R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + R^T_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} R^T_{q\bar{p}k\bar{l}} - R^T_{i\bar{p}k\bar{q}} R^T_{p\bar{j}q\bar{l}} + R^T_{i\bar{l}p\bar{q}} R^T_{q\bar{p}k\bar{j}} + R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(R^T_{i\bar{p}} R^T_{p\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + R^T_{p\bar{j}} R^T_{i\bar{p}k\bar{l}} + R^T_{k\bar{p}} R^T_{i\bar{j}p\bar{l}} + R^T_{p\bar{l}} R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{p}}).\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

We denote the operator $\Box R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ the right hand side of the above expression. As in [15], we define a new transverse curvature type tensor

$$S(t) = Rm^T(t) - \lambda(t) g^T(t) * Ric^T(t),$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is a function to be determined, and

$$(g^T * Ric^T)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = g^T_{i\bar{j}} Ric^T_{k\bar{l}} + g^T_{i\bar{l}} Ric^T_{k\bar{j}} + g^T_{k\bar{l}} Ric^T_{i\bar{j}} + g^T_{k\bar{j}} Ric^T_{i\bar{l}}.$$

Now a direct manipulation gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}}{\partial t} &= \Box S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + \lambda (Ric^T * Ric^T)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + \lambda^2 (g^T * (Ric^T \cdot (g^T * Ric^T)))_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \\ &\quad -\lambda^2 (Ric^T * ((n+2) Ric^T + R^T g^T)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} - \lambda' (g^T * Ric^T)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \\ &\quad +\lambda^2 [(g^T * Ric^T)_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} (g^T * Ric^T)_{q\bar{p}k\bar{l}} - (g^T * Ric^T)_{i\bar{p}k\bar{q}} (g^T * Ric^T)_{p\bar{j}q\bar{l}} \\ &\quad +(g^T * Ric^T)_{i\bar{l}p\bar{q}} (g^T * Ric^T)_{q\bar{p}k\bar{j}}].\end{aligned}$$

Here for a tensor $A = A_{i\bar{j}}$, and $B = B_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$, we denote

$$(A \cdot B)_{i\bar{j}} = \sum_{k,l} A_{l\bar{k}} B_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}.$$

By (7.1), we obtain for a constant C_3 depending only on C_1 and C_2 that

$$\frac{\partial S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}}{\partial t} \geq \Box S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + (C_1^2\lambda - C_2\lambda' - C_3\lambda^2) g^T * g^T.$$

Let

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{C_4 C_1^2 e^{C_1^2 t/C_2}}{1 + C_4 C_3 e^{C_1^2 t/C_2}},$$

then it satisfies

$$C_1^2\lambda - C_2\lambda' - C_3\lambda^2 = 0.$$

Since $g(T_1)$ has positive transverse bisectional curvature, we can choose $C_4 > 0$ so small that $S(T_1) \geq 0$. Then by Mok's maximum principle(see Proposition 8.5 in [32]), we see for any u, v,

$$S(t)(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}) \geq 0$$

for all $t \geq T_1$. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda(t) = C_1^2/C_3$, we know that there is a time $T_0 > T_1$, such that

$$Rm_t^T(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}) \geq \epsilon g_t^T * g_t^T(u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v}),$$

for $\epsilon = C_1^2/2C_3$ and all u, v. The uniformity is clear from the proof. □

Proposition 7.4. *Let (M, ξ_i, η_i, g_i) be a sequence of Sasaki-Ricci solitons on M with positive transverse bisectional curvature. If the sequence converges to a limit (M, ξ, η, g) in the Cheeger-Gromov sense, then (M, ξ, η, g) also has positive transverse bisectional curvature.*

To prove this proposition, we first note the proof of Lemma 7.2 also shows that (M, ξ, η, g) has a positive transverse Ricci curvature. This implies that for i large enough, there is bound $Ric_i^T \geq C g_i^T$ for a constant $C > 0$. Then one can use Lemma 7.2 to obtain a uniform lower bound of the transverse bisectional curvature of g_i. Taking limit we deduce Proposition 7.4.

8 Rigidity of weighted Sasaki spheres

In this section we prove the local rigidity of Sasaki structures with positive transverse bisectional curvature.

Theorem 8.1. *Let (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) be a Sasaki manifold with positive transverse bisectional curvature. Then any Sasaki structure (ξ, η, g) on M sufficiently close to (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) is isomorphic to a simple deformation of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0), i.e. there is a diffeomorphism f such that $f^*(\xi, \eta, g)$ is a simple deformation of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0).*

Here we are vague about the topology used. We can, for example, use a the C^k topology on tensors for a fixed Riemannian metric and a fixed large integer k. We first reduce our problem to a simpler case in two steps.

Step 1. An application of a theorem by Grove-Karcher-Ruh([27], [28], [36]) gives rise to the following lemma.

Lemma 8.2. *Fix a large integer k. There is a C^k neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) in the space of all Sasaki structures on M, such that for any (ξ, η, g) in $\mathcal{U}$, there is a C^{k-1} diffeomorphism f of M which is C^{k-1} close to the identity map, with an inclusion of automorphism groups*

$$Aut(f^*\xi, f^*\eta, f^*g) = f^{-1} \circ Aut(\xi, \eta, g) \circ f \hookrightarrow Aut(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0).$$

Proof. This follows from the arguments in [36]. For the convenience of readers we include a sketch of proof here. First we notice that the automorphism group of a Sasaki structure is always compact. Let (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0) be a compact Sasaki manifold. Fix a large integer k. Then it follows from the compactness that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a C^k neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) in the space of all Sasaki structures on M, such that for any (ξ, η, g) in $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$ is within the ϵ neighborhood of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ in the C^{k-1} topology in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$, i.e. for any $q \in \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$, there is a $q_0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ such that $d_{C^{k-1}}(q, q_0) \le \epsilon/3$. Here the norm is always defined in terms of the fixed background metric g_0. By choosing an $\epsilon/3$ dense net of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$, we can define a measurable map $P : \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$, such that for any $q \in \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$, we have $d_{C^{k-1}}(P(q), q) \le \epsilon$. It follows that there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of ϵ so that for any $q_1, q_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$,

$$d_{C^{k-1}}(P(q_1 q_2) \circ P(q_2)^{-1}, P(q_1)) \le C\epsilon.$$

Hence P is an almost homomorphism, in the sense of [28]. Using the notion of center of mass for maps, it is proved in [28] that for ϵ sufficiently small, there is a homomorphism $Q : \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ with $d_{C^{k-1}}(P(q), Q(q)) \le C'\epsilon$ for some constant $C' > C$ and all $q \in \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$. From the iteration arguments [28] Theorem 4.3 and the definition of center of mass, one sees that after the first iteration the maps already become continuous around identity, so Q is continuous. Then Q is indeed a Lie group homomorphism. For any $q \in \mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$ with $Q(q) = \mathrm{id}$, the property of Q ensures that $d_{C^{k-1}}(q, \mathrm{id}) \le C\epsilon$. By Corollary 2.5 in [27], Q must be injective, and hence a Lie group embedding. Thus we obtain two actions of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi, \eta, g)$ on M which are C^{k-1} close. By the stability theorem for group actions [27], there is a C^{k-1} diffeomorphism f which conjugates these two actions. The theorem A in [27] is only stated for C^1 topology, but it is straightforward to extend it to our case. Moreover, the diffeomorphism f is C^{k-1} close to the identity. □

By this lemma, we only need to consider nearby Sasaki structures (ξ, η, g) such that ξ lies in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$. So there is an element f in $\mathrm{Aut}(\xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ such that $Ad_f \xi$ lies in $\mathfrak{t}$. Here $\mathfrak{t}$ is the maximal abelian Lie subalgebra we fixed in the beginning of this section. Since ξ is close to ξ_0, f can be chosen to be close to the identity. Now conjugate the Sasaki structure (ξ, η, g) by the diffeomorphism f, we may assume ξ itself lies in $\mathfrak{t}$, and (ξ, η, g) is close to a Type I deformation of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0), say (ξ, η', g'). (ξ, η', g') certainly has positive transverse bisectional curvature, so we reduce to the case $\xi = \xi_0$.

Step 2. We can apply Gray's theorem in a ξ_0-invariant way, and obtain a ξ_0 invariant diffeomorphism F close to identity such that $F^*\eta = H\eta_0$ for some ξ_0-invariant positive function H. Since $\eta(\xi_0) = \eta_0(\xi_0) = 1$, we see $H = 1$. Therefore we are finally reduced to consider nearby Sasaki structures of the form (ξ_0, η_0, g).

Now we fix a Sasaki structure (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0). As in Section 2.1, any Sasaki structure of the form (ξ_0, η_0, g) is induced by an integrable almost CR structure $(\mathcal{D}_0, \Phi)$ compatible with η_0. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the space of all almost CR structures compatible with η_0. The group $\mathcal{G}$ of strict contact transformations of (M, η_0) acts naturally on $\mathcal{J}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is the space $C^\infty_B(M;\mathbb{R})$ consisting of all real valued basic functions with the Possion algebra structure. The complexification $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = C^\infty_B(M;\mathbb{C})$ consists of all complex valued basic functions. Let Φ_0 be the CR structure determined by the original metric g_0. We have a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\infty_B(M;\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_B} T_{\Phi_0}\mathcal{J} = \Omega^{0,1}_B(T^{1,0}_B) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_B} \Omega^{0,2}_B(T^{1,0}_B) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here $\overline{\partial}_B$ and $\mathcal{D}_B$ are the analogue of the Cauchy-Riemann operator and the Lichnerowicz operator for basic quantities, and $\Omega^{0,k}_B(T^{1,0}_B)$ denotes the space of $T^{1,0}_B$-valued $(0,k)$ forms that is symmetric with respect to the metric g_0, i.e. those who vanish under skew-symmetrization. Let $\Delta_B = \mathcal{D}_B\mathcal{D}^*_B + (\overline{\partial}^*_B\overline{\partial}_B)^2$. In general CR geometry, the Laplacian operator is only sub-elliptic, but here Δ_B is indeed an elliptic operator, due to the ξ_0-invariance of the whole complex. Then we can define the basic Dolbeault cohomology $H^{0,1}_B(M, T^{1,0}_B)$. Now an element $\Phi \in \mathcal{J}$ close to Φ_0 is represented by an element μ in $\Omega^{0,1}_B(T^{1,0}_B)$. The integrability condition for Φ is the usual one:

$$[T^{0,1}_{B,\Phi}, T^{0,1}_{B,\Phi}] \subset T^{0,1}_{B,\Phi}.$$

The linearization of this condition at Φ_0 is given precisely by $\overline{\partial}_B$. The real part of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is exactly the tangent space given by the action of $\mathcal{G}$, while the imaginary part of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by the transverse Kähler deformation in a fixed basic class. Here any transverse Kähler deformation $\eta_t = \eta_0 + d^c_B\phi_t$ gives rise, by a Moser type theorem, to an isotopy f_t such that $f^*_t\eta_t = \eta_0$, and $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}f^*_t\Phi = \sqrt{-1}\mathcal{D}_B\dot{\phi}_0$. Therefore, the standard Kodaira-Spencer theory ensures a versal deformation of integrable basic CR structures parameterized by $H^{0,1}_B(M, T^{1,0}_B)$, In particular, (η_0, ξ_0, Φ_0) is rigid if $H^{0,1}_B(M, T^{1,0}_B) = 0$. Now we have the following lemma.

Lemma 8.3. *For any Sasaki structure (ξ, ξ, g) with positive transverse bisectional curvature, the cohomology $H^{0,1}_B(M, T^{1,0}_B)$ is trivial.*

Proof. We prove this lemma via a Bochner technique. Fix any transverse holomorphic coordinates $\{z^i\}$, by assumption, for any two nonzero vectors $u = u^i\partial_{z^i}$, $v = v^i\partial_{z^i}$

$$R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}u^iu^{\bar{j}}v^kv^{\bar{l}} > 0.$$

By an algebraic manipulation (see [20] Proposition 10.14), for any nonzero symmetric tensor $\mu = \mu^{ij}\partial_{z^i} \otimes \partial_{z^j} \in \mathrm{Sym}(T^{1,0}_B \otimes T^{1,0}_B)$ we have

$$R^T_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}\mu^{ik}\mu^{\bar{j}\bar{l}} + R^T_{i\bar{j}}\mu^{ik}\mu^{\bar{k}\bar{j}} > 0.$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_B\mu &= \overline{\partial}_B\overline{\partial}_B^*\mu + \overline{\partial}_B^*\overline{\partial}_B\mu \\ &= -\mu_{\bar{i}\bar{k},k\bar{j}} - \mu_{\bar{i}\bar{j},k\bar{k}} + \mu_{\bar{k}\bar{j},\bar{i}k} \\ &= R^T_{k\bar{j}l\bar{i}}\mu_{\bar{l}\bar{k}} + R^T_{k\bar{j}}\mu_{\bar{i}\bar{l}} - \mu_{\bar{i}\bar{j},k\bar{k}}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $\mu \in \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Sym}(T_B^{1,0} \otimes T_B^{1,0})) \cong \Omega_B^{0,1}(M, T_B^{1,0})$ with $\Delta_B\mu = 0$, we have

$$R^T_{k\bar{j}l\bar{i}}\mu_{\bar{l}\bar{k}} + R^T_{k\bar{j}}\mu_{\bar{i}\bar{k}} - \mu_{\bar{i}\bar{j},k\bar{k}} = 0.$$

This clearly implies $\mu = 0$. Now we show $H_B^{0,1}(M, T_B^{1,0}) = 0$. This is equivalent to showing that any $\mu \in \Omega_B^{0,1}(M, T_B^{1,0})$ with $\overline{\partial}_B\mu = 0$ is equal to $\mathcal{D}_B f$ for some complex-valued basic function f. What we have shown is that $\mu = \overline{\partial}_B\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega_B^0(M, T_B^{1,0})$. In other words, $\mu_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \alpha_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}$. $\mu_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \mu_{\bar{j}\bar{i}}$ is equivalent to $\overline{\partial}_B\alpha = 0$. By the positivity assumption, we have $H_B^1(M; \mathbb{C}) = 0$ (see [32] Proposition 9.4), thus there is a basic function f such that $\alpha = \overline{\partial}_B f$. Then $\mu = \mathcal{D}_B f$. This completes the proof. ☐

By this lemma any Sasaki structure (ξ_0, η_0, g') close to (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) is indeed isomorphic to one that is a transverse Kähler deformation of the latter. To prove Theorem 8.1 we need a uniform version of this, namely that there are $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that for any (ξ, η, g) which is a type I deformation of (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) and is ϵ close to (ξ_0, η_0, g_0), any Sasaki structure (ξ, η, g') δ close to (ξ, η, g) is isomorphic to a small transverse Kähler deformation of (ξ, η, g). This follows from the above proof. This together with the previous two step reduction imply any Sasaki structure (ξ', η', g') sufficiently close to (ξ_0, η_0, g_0) is a simple deformation of the latter. So we have proved Theorem 8.1.

Remark 8.4. By using a transverse Kähler transformation, the above argument also proves that the space of all simple Sasaki structures on the sphere is a locally rigid family.

9 Discussions

9.1 Volume properness

After we finish this article, we realize that there is a simpler proof of our main theorem, which does not depend on the calculation in Section 5. However, since the calculation in Section 5 might have its own interest, and since the rest of arguments remain essentially the same, we would like to present our results in its original form, and include here a discussion of the alternative approach.

Consider a compact Sasaki manifold (M, ξ_0, η_0, g_0), and thus a Kähler cone (X, J, g_X). Again we fix a maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ contains ξ. Recall in Section 2.2 the Reeb cone ξ is characterized by

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{t} | \eta_0(\xi) > 0\}.$$

Also in Section 2.4 we have defined the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ of normalized elements in $\mathfrak{t}$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{H}$ the normalized elements in $\mathcal{R}$. It is proved in [40] that the volume functional is a strictly convex function on the convex set $\mathcal{R}'$, so its critical point, if exists, must be unique. In the toric case, it is proved in [39], [24] that the volume functional is proper, so the critical point indeed exists. In general, we have

Proposition 9.1. *The volume functional is proper on $\mathcal{R}'$.*

Proof. We first claim that $\mathcal{R}'$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}$. Fix an arbitrary norm $||\cdot||$ on $\mathfrak{t}$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is open, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that any $\xi \in \mathcal{R}'$ with $||\xi - \xi_0|| \leq \delta$ lies in in $\mathcal{R}'$. Recall from Section 2.4 that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{R}'$,

$$\int_M (\eta_0(\xi - \xi_0))e^{-h_0}\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n = 0.$$

So there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathcal{R}'$ with $||\xi - \xi_0|| = \delta$ we have

$$\min \eta_0(\xi - \xi_0) \leq -\epsilon < 0.$$

For any $\xi \in \mathcal{R}'$, we denote

$$\xi' = \xi_0 + \frac{1}{||\xi - \xi_0||}(\xi - \xi_0).$$

Then $\eta_0(\xi') > 0$. But

$$\min \eta_0(\xi' - \xi_0) = \frac{1}{||\xi - \xi_0||}(\min \eta_0(\xi) - 1) \geq -\frac{1}{||\xi - \xi_0||}.$$

So

$$||\xi - \xi_0|| \leq 1/\epsilon.$$

This proves the claim. Now to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that for any sequence $\{\xi_i\}$ in $\mathcal{R}'$ with $\lim_{i\to\infty} \xi_i = \xi \in \partial\mathcal{R}'$ we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} Vol(\xi_i) = +\infty.$$

Clearly $\eta_0(\xi) \geq 0$ and $\min \eta_0(\xi) = 0$. From the discussion in Section 2.2 we know that $(\xi_i, \eta_i = \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_0(\xi_i)})$ is the Sasaki structure on M given by the type I deformation. So

$$Vol(\xi_i) = \int_M \eta_i \wedge (d\eta_i)^n = \int_M (\eta_0(\xi_i))^{-n-1}\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n.$$

Since $\eta_0(\xi_i)$ is positive for any i, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_M (\eta_0(\xi))^{-n-1}\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n \leq \liminf_{i\to\infty} V(\xi_i).$$

So we only need to show the integral in the left hand side is unbounded. Suppose $\eta_0(\xi)(p) = 0$ at some point $p \in M$. Then $d(\eta_0(\xi))(p) = 0$. We choose a local coordinate chart $(x, z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ around p, such that $\xi_0 = \partial_x$, and $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ is a local transverse holomorphic coordinate. Since $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, $\eta_0(\xi)$ is a basic function with respect to ξ_0. Thus for $\epsilon, r > 0$ sufficiently small, on $U_{\epsilon,r} = \{((x, z_1, \cdots, z_n)||x| \leq \epsilon, \sum |z_i|^2 \leq r^2\}$ we have

$$0 \leq \eta_0(\xi) \leq C\sum_i |z_i|^2.$$

Hence

$$\int_M (\eta_0(\xi))^{-n}\eta_0 \wedge (d\eta_0)^n \geq C \int_{|x|\leq\epsilon} \int_{\sum_i |z_i|^2\leq r^2} (\sum_i |z_i|^2)^{-n-1} dz d\bar{z} = +\infty.$$

□

It follows from this proposition that the unique critical point ξ of the volume functional in $\mathcal{R}'$ always exists. Now instead of deforming the Reeb vector field along the negative gradient of the volume functional, one can deform the Reeb vector field along the straight line in $\mathfrak{t}$ that connects ξ_0 and ξ. To study the deformation of the corresponding Sasaki-Ricci solitons, we again need to bound the μ entropy from below. For a given Sasaki-Ricci soliton (M, ξ, η, g) with Ricci potential h, we have

$$\mu_X(g) = \int_X e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}-h}(R + |\nabla h|^2 + (2 + 2/n)h) dV_X = c_n \int_M h e^{-h} dv_g,$$

where c_n is a constant depending only n. Now for any $Y \in \mathfrak{t}$, and any simple deformation (ξ', η', g') with $\xi' \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $u(Y; g')$ be the Hamiltonian potential of Y with respect to the metric g' under the normalization that

$$\int_M e^{-u(Y,g')} dv_{g'} = 1.$$

We define a functional

$$I(Y; \xi', \eta', g') = c_n \int_M u(Y, g') e^{-u(Y,g')} dv_{g'}.$$

Similar to [54] it is straightforward to verify that this definition is independent of transverse Kähler deformation. In other words, we obtain a functional $I(Y; \xi')$. Moreover, whenever there is a $\mathbb{T}$-invariant Sasaki-Ricci soliton (ξ', η', g'), we have $\mu_X(g') = I(Y_{\xi'}, \xi')$, where $Y_{\xi'}$ is the unique element in $\mathfrak{t}$ determined a priori by Lemma 2.15. From the proof of that lemma one sees that $Y_{\xi'}$ depends smoothly on ξ'. Thus the function $I(Y_{\xi'}, \xi')$ is a smooth function on $\mathcal{R}$. In particular, it is uniformly bounded on any compact subset. This provides an a priori bound on the μ entropy along the new deformation path. Given this bound, we get an alternative proof of the main theorem, following the rest of arguments in Section 3.

9.2 Further questions

There are several questions that seem to be interesting to the authors.

- Classify compact Sasaki manifolds with nonnegative transverse bisectional curvature. For Kähler manifolds with nonnegative bisectional curvature, this was done by Mok [41]. The original arguments of Mok used both the Kähler-Ricci flow and Mori's theory of rational curves. H-L. Gu [29] gave a purely transcendental proof of Mok's theorem assuming only the Mori-Siu-Yau theorem, based on an idea of Brendle-Schoen [10] on borderline case problems. Using this, one can classify compact Sasaki manifolds with nonnegative transverse bisectional curvature. The details will be discussed elsewhere [33].

- An algebro-geometric counterpart of the main theorem, in particular, in the orbifold setting. It seems that a characterization of weighted projective spaces analogous to Kobayashi-Ochiai would be very helpful.
- It is a question in Chen-Tian [17] to classify compact Kähler orbifolds with positive bisectional curvature. Corollary 1.2 gave an answer when the orbifold is polarized. This restriction comes from the fact such orbifolds corresponds exactly to quasi-regular compact Sasaki manifolds. In general we may get a compact Sasaki orbifold. Given the fact(c.f. [17]) that a compact Kähler-Einstein orbifold with positive bisectional curvature is a global quotient of the projective space, it is tempting to expect that a compact Sasaki orbifold with positive transverse bisectional curvature is a finite quotient of a weighted Sasaki sphere. Then it would follow that a compact Kähler orbifold with positive bisectional curvature is biholomorphic to a finite quotient of a weighted projective space. A complete answer to this would require a detailed analysis of the Sasaki-Ricci flow on orbifolds.

References

[1] S. Bando. *On the classification of three-dimensional compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative bisectional curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), no. 2, 283-297.

[2] F. A. Belgun, *On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces.* Math. Ann. 317 (2000), no. 1, 1-40.

[3] M. Berger. *Sur quleques variétés riemanniennes compactes dÈinstein,* (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260 (1965), 1554-1557.

[4] C. Böhm and B. Wilking, *Manifolds with positive curvature operator are space forms,* Ann. of Math. 167, 1079–1097 (2008).

[5] C.P. Boyer, K. Galicki. *Sasaki geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. xii+613 pp.

[6] C.P. Boyer, K. Galicki, M. Nakamaye. *On positive Sasaki geometry*, Geom. Dedicata 101 (2003), 93-102.

[7] C.P. Boyer, K. Galicki, P. Matzeu. *On eta-Einstein Sasaki geometry,* Comm. Math. Phys. 262 (2006), no. 1, 177-208.

[8] C.P. Boyer, K. Galicki, S.R. Simanca. *Canonical Sasaki metrics*, Comm. Math. Phys. 279 (2008), no. 3, 705-733.

[9] S. Brendle; R. Schoen, *Manifolds with 1/4-pinched curvature are space forms.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009), no. 1, 287-307.

[10] S. Brendle, R. Schoen. *Classification of manifolds with weakly 1/4-pinched curvatures.* Acta Math. 200 (2008), no. 1, 1-13.

[11] E. Calabi. *Extremal Kähler metric, II*, Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis, eds. I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas, Spring Verlag (1985), 95-114.

[12] H-D. Cao. *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds,* Invent. Math. 81 (1985), no. 2, 359-372.

[13] H-D. Cao. *On dimension reduction in the Kähler-Ricci flow.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 12 (2004), no. 1-2, 305-320.

[14] H-D. Cao, B-L. Chen, X-P. Zhu. *Ricci flow on compact Kähler manifolds of positive bisectional curvature,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 337 (2003), no. 12, 781-784.

[15] X-X. Chen, S. Sun, G. Tian. A note on Kähler-Ricci soliton, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2009, no. 17, 3328-3336.

[16] X-X. Chen, G. Tian. *Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein surfaces*, Invent. Math. 147 (2002), no. 3, 487-544.

[17] X-X. Chen, G. Tian. *Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein manifolds*, Duke Math. J. 131 (2006), no. 1, 17-73.

[18] T. Collins. *The Transverse Entropy Functional and the Sasaki-Ricci Flow*, arxiv: math/1103.5720.

[19] B. Chow, L-F. Wu. *The Ricci flow on compact 2-orbifolds with curvature negative somewhere*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), no. 3, 275-286.

[20] J-P. Demailly. *Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), 1-148.

[21] A. El Kacimi-Alaoui, G. Hector. *Décomposition de Hodge basique pour un feuilletage riemannien.* (French) [Basic Hodge decomposition for a Riemannian foliation] Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 36 (1986), no. 3, 207-227.

[22] T. Frankel, *Manifolds with positive curvature.* Pacific J. Math. 11(1961), 165-174.

[23] A. Futaki. *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics,* Invent. Math. 73 (1983), no. 3, 437-443.

[24] A. Futaki, H. Ono, G-F. Wang. *Transverse Kähler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 83 (2009), 585-636.

[25] S. Goldberg, S. Kobayashi. *Holomorphic bisectional curvature*, J. Differential Geometry 1 (1967), 225-233.

[26] J-P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, S-T. Yau. *Obstructions to the Existence of Sasaki-Einstein Metrics*, Commun. Math. Phy. 273 (2007), 803-827.

[27] K. Grove, H. Karcher. *How to conjugate C^1-Close Group Actions*, Math. Z. 132(1973), 11-20.

[28] K. Grove, H. Karcher, E. Ruh, *Group actions and curvature.* Invent. Math. 23 (1974), 31-48.

[29] H-L. Gu. *A new proof of Mok's generalized Frankel conjecture theorem.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 3, 1063-1068.

[30] R. Hamilton. *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature.* J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 2, 255-306.

[31] R. Hamilton. *Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator.* J. Differential Geom. 24 (1986), no. 2, 153-179.

[32] W-Y. He. *The Sasaki-Ricci flow and compact Sasakian manifolds of positive transverse holomorphic bisectional curvature*, arxiv:math/ 1103.5807.

[33] W-Y. He, S. Sun. *Compact Sasaki manifolds with nonnegative transverse bisectional curvature*, in preparation.

[34] T. Ivey, *Ricci solitons on compact three-manifolds*, Differential Geometry and its Applications, Volume 3, Issue 4, 1993, 301-307.

[35] Franz W. Kamber, P. Tondeur. *de Rham-Hodge theory for Riemannian foliations,* Math. Ann. 277 (1987), no. 3, 415-431.

[36] Y-W. Kim. *Semicontinuity of compact group actions on compact differentiable manifolds.* Arch. Math. (Basel) 49 (1987), no. 5, 450-455.

[37] S. Kobayashi, T. Ochiai. *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 13 (1973), 31-47.

[38] A. Lichnerowicz. *Sur les transformations analytiques des variétés káhlériennes compactes,* (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 244(1957), 3011-3013.

[39] D. Martelli, J. Sparks, S-T. Yau. *The geometric dual of a-maximisation for toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds.* Commun. Math. Phys. 268, 3965 (2006).

[40] D. Martelli, J. Sparks, S-T. Yau. *Sasaki-Einstein Manifolds and Volume Minimisation*, Commun. Math. Phys. 280 (2007), 611-673.

[41] N-M. Mok. *The uniformization theorem for compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 27 (1988), no. 2, 179-214.

[42] S. Mori. *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles,* Ann. of Math. (2) 110 (1979), no. 3, 593-606.

[43] G. Perelman. *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arxiv:math/0211159.

[44] D. Phong, J. Song, J. Sturm, B. Weinkove. *The Kähler-Ricci flow with positive bisectional curvature*, Invent. Math. 173 (2008), no. 3, 651-665.

[45] J. Ross, R. Thomas. *Weighted projective embeddings, stability of orbifolds and constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics*, Jour. Diff. Geom. 88 (2011), 109-160.

[46] P. Rukimbira. *Topology and closed characteristics of K-contact manifolds,* Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 2 (1995), no. 3, 349-356.

[47] S. Tanno, *The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds,* Illinois J. Math. 12 (1968), 700-717.

[48] N. Sesum, G. Tian. *Bounding scalar curvature and diameter along the Kähler Ricci flow (after Perelman),* J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 7 (2008), no. 3, 575-587.

[49] Y-T. Siu, S-T. Yau. *Compact Kähler manifolds of positive bisectional curvature.* Invent. Math. 59 (1980), no. 2, 189-204.

[50] J. Sparks. *Sasaki-Einstein Manifolds*, arxiv:math/1004.2461.

[51] K. Smoczyk, G-F. Wang, Y-B. Zhang. *The Sasaki-Ricci flow*, Internat. J. Math. 21 (2010), no. 7, 951-969.

[52] S. Tanno. *Sasakian manifolds with constant ϕ-holomorphic sectional curvature.* Tohoku Math. J. (2) 21, 1969, 501-507.

[53] G. Tian, Z-L. Zhang. *Degeneration of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, arxiv:math /1006.1577.

[54] G. Tian, S-J. Zhang, Z-L. Zhang, X-H. Zhu. *Supremum of Perelman's entropy and Kähler-Ricci flow on a Fano manifold*, arxiv: math/1107.4018.

[55] G. Tian, X-H. Zhu. *Uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons.* Acta Math. 184 (2000), no. 2, 271-305.

[56] G. Tian, X-H. Zhu. *A new holomorphic invariant and uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons.* Comment. Math. Helv. 77 (2002), no. 2, 297-325.

[57] P. Tondeur. *Geometry of foliation,* Monographs in Mathematics, 90. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.

[58] L-F. Wu. *The Ricci flow on 2-orbifolds with positive curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. 33 (1991), no.2, 575-596.

[59] X. Zhang, *A note of Sasaki metrics with constant scalar curvature*, J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), no. 10, 103-505.

Weiyong He
Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, 97403
Email: whe@uoregon.edu

Song Sun
Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London SW7 2AZ, U.K
Email: s.sun@imperial.ac.uk